PART II	1
SECTION 7	2
AIR DEFENSE	3
WARSAW PACT DEFENSE AGAINST AIR ATTACK	4
Basic Doctrine and Objectives	<u>5</u>
1. The objective of Warsaw Pact (WP) air defense is to	<u>6</u>
nullify or reduce the effectiveness of an enemy attack from	7
aircraft and missiles.(1) The WP doctrine for air defense	<u>8</u>
is part of a total strategy which seeks to destroy enemy	9
aircraft and missiles before they are launched, to divert	10
or destroy enemy aircraft and weapons while airborne, and to	11
nullify or reduce the effectiveness of air and missile attacks	12
through passive air defense measures. A basic WP concept for	<u>13</u>
air defenses includes a high concentration of firepower.	14
Air defenses are deployed around important target complexes	<u>15</u>
and across the most likely approaches to them.	<u>16</u>
General General	<u>17</u>
2. The Warsaw Pact stresses that coordinated use of all	18
types of armed forces is required to achieve victory and	19
regards strategic offensive and defensive forces as being of	20
prime importance. It devotes significant military expenditures	21
to the air defense of the homeland and of the armed forces.	22
The Soviet defense program also includes a limited active	23
defense against ballistic missiles (1).	24
3. Air defense of the USSR is assigned to PVO Strany	<u>25</u>
(Air Defense of the Homeland) (2) which is divided into	26
three known arms, each performing one of the key functions	27
of the air defense mission, i.e., air surveillance and	28
·	29
<ol> <li>See Part II - Section 3: Antiballistic Missile Forces.</li> <li>See Glossary.</li> </ol>	<u>30</u>
(2) 333 323341,	31

DIA review(s) completed.

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-1

PART II - Section 7

1 2 3

control, fighter intercept, and surface-to-air missile	1
(SAM) operations. The antiballistic missile forces may	2
represent a fourth arm of PVO Strany. PVO Strany is one of	<u>3</u>
the five type forces of the Soviet armed forces and is	4
co-equal in status to the Air Forces, the Navy, the Ground	<u>5</u>
Forces, and the Strategic Rocket Troops.	<u>6</u>
4. The provision, maintenance, and operation of air	<u>7</u>
defense forces in individual Non-Soviet Warsaw Pact (NSWP)	8
countries are a national responsibility. However, the NSWP	<u>9</u>
national systems are closely coordinated and ultimately con-	10
trolled by a Soviet-dominated command structure to produce	11
a unified WP air defense organization. The NSWP systems thus	12
form an extension of the Soviet national air defense system.	<u>13</u>
5. Although the primary mission of the air defense	14
elements of the Soviet groups of forces in NSWP countries is	<u>15</u>
the defense of their field forces, they would coordinate with	16
the national NSWP systems in the conduct of the air defense	<u>17</u>
battle. During wartime, these same groups of forces would	18
be absorbed into Fronts with identical responsibility for air	<u>19</u>
defense of field forces. The Front air defenses would be	20
provided by aircraft from Frontal Aviation (FA) and the	21
ground-based air defense weapons along with their associated	22
command, control and warning networks of PVO Voysk (Soviet	23
Ground Force air defense elements) (1).	24
SOVIET HOMELAND AIR DEFENSE FORCES (2)	25
General General	26
6. The USSR is divided into 10 air defense districts	27
(ADD) (3), which are subdivided into 39 air defense zones	28
(ADZs). Most of the latter are further divided into sectors	29
(1)	<u>30</u>
(1) See Glossary. (2) See Table A 13, Part III, Section 3. (3) Western nomenclature.	<u>31</u>
, ,	

SECRET US 161/76

for air surveillance purposes. The ADZ is the lowest	<u>1</u>
echelon at which integrated control over all three	2
functional elements of the air defense forces is exercised.	<u>3</u>
Doctrine	4
7. Soviet air defense doctrine calls for the conduct of	<u>5</u>
a closely coordinated air battle using the combined strength	<u>6</u>
of PVO Strany interceptors and SAM forces, Frontal Aviation	7
(FA) Counterair units, ground force air defense elements,	8
and those naval units whose air defense systems can be	9
incorporated into the overall defense system. It appears the	<u>10</u>
Soviets rely primarily on SAMs for point defense of important	11
fixed targets. SAMs also are used to form barrier defenses	12
on approach routes to some important target complexes,	<u>13</u>
with fighters covering areas forward of the SAMs and gaps	14
between SAM defended areas. Available evidence points to a	15
centralized, tight control (at ADZ level) over all elements	<u>16</u>
which will rely on positive identification by radar and	<u>17</u>
Identification, Friend or Foe (IFF). In the event of system	18
degradation, the Soviets probably have procedures for	<u>19</u>
autonomous operation by SAM and air units.	20
Early Warning (EW) and Ground-Controlled Intercept (GCI)	21
8. The Soviet early warning and ground-controlled	22
intercept (EW/GCI) system is characterized by extensive	23
deployment of radar sites. There are 1,140 EW/GCI sites	24
containing 5,880 radars located in the USSR. Many sites	<u>25</u>
have several different types of radar sets operating	26
in many frequency bands and different IFF systems. At many	27
locations radars have been mounted on masts to improve low	28
altitude coverage. The radar data can be introduced into the	29
	30
	<u>31</u>

	command and control network manually or by several data	1
	transmission systems. In general, this deployment provides	2
	a widespread, flexible, highly reliable ground based air	3
	defense radar network.	4
	9. Nine MOSS aircraft, the Soviet Airborne Warning and	<u>5</u>
	Control System (AWACS), provide limited surveillance and	<u>6</u>
	warning, primarily over the northwestern approaches to the	7
	USSR. The primary mission of the MOSS is to extend radar	8
	coverage seaward in portions of the Barents Sea. The Soviet	<u>9</u>
	Navy also operates radar surveillance ships in each of its	10
	fleet areas. As yet, however, radar surveillance ship	11
	deployment remains very limited in the northern approaches.	12
	Nevertheless, the radar ships have the potential to function	13
	as extensions of the land-based air surveillance system,	14
	particularly against low-altitude targets.	<u>15</u>
	10. The PVO Strany and NSWP National Air Defense EW	<u>16</u>
	systems provide dense, overlapping radar coverage against	<u>17</u>
	aircraft at medium to high altitudes over almost all WP	25X <del>}</del> 8
	territory. The Leningrad region and some of the approaches	<u>19</u>
DIA —	through NSWP countries probably have effective cover	20
25X5	and others, some in the interior,	21
514	large areas in the USSR almost certainly remain without 2	5X5
DIA 25X5	effective cover The Soviets have passive	23
	warning units which would be able to obtain bearings on active	24
	airborne radar or jammers.	<u>25</u>
	11. Soviet fighter ground control system radars and	<u>26</u>
	equipment have an all-weather capability against aircraft	<u>27</u>
	attempting to penetrate at medium and high altitudes. Under	28
	normal operating conditions, ground control and tracking	<u>29</u>
DIA	at medium and high altitudes are assured for example	<u>30</u>
25X5	However, this	<u>31</u>

DIA review(s) completed.

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-4 PART II - Section 7

range is progressively reduced as aircraft penetrate at lower 1 altitudes, primarily because of line-of-sight limitations. 2 The MOSS AWAC aircraft can be used to provide limited control 3 of interceptor fighters beyond the radar range of land-based 4 control systems. A more advanced system than MOSS will be 5 required to provide a true airborne intercept control capa-6 bility at all altitudes. In some coastal areas of the USSR 7 a shipborne fighter control procedure is also apparently 8 9 being developed.

12. During hostilities PVO Strany, FA, and PVO Voysk forces located in the USSR, will cooperate to provide an integrated, air defense under overall direction of PVO Strany. PVO Strany would support PVO Voysk during troop mobilization and movement until PVO Voysk leaves the USSR. Under conditions of strategic attack, FA counterair fighters and PVO Voysk located in the USSR undoubtedly would play a strategic air defense role in support of PVO Strany at the outset of war. The NSWP national air defense also would be coordinated by PVO Strany to maximize WP strategic air defense effectiveness. The national air defenses of the GDR, Czechoslovakia, and Poland are coordinated by a Soviet dominated WP staff at Minsk; those of Hungary, Romania, and Bulgaria by a similar body at Kiev.

displays redundancy, flexibility, and reliability, and has

semiautomatic systems for weapons control and air surveillance

reporting. High Frequency (HF), Very-High Frequency (VHF),

Ultra-High Frequency (UHF), Super-High Frequency (SHF),

microwave radio links and landlines are used to provide air

defense system communications. Voice communications and

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

# SECRET

a ground-to-air data link are used to control interceptors.	<u>1</u>
New data link systems have improved Soviet target handling	2
capability, as well as facilitating the command and control	3
of increased numbers and types of SAMs.	4
Weapons Systems (1)	5
14. <u>General</u> . PVO Strany forces are deployed to provide	<u>6</u>
an in-depth strategic defense of the USSR against air threats.	<u>7</u>
Penetrating aircraft would face a series of defenses once	8
detected. The initial engagement would likely be with	<u>9</u>
peripheral based interceptors or long range interceptors.	10
The penetrator would than face the SA-2, SA-3, SA-5, and	11
further interceptor aircraft. SA-1 terminal defenses are	12
located only around Moscow. The Soviets have the capability	<u>13</u>
to arm certain strategic SAMs with nuclear warheads and may	14
have already done so. If a period of tension preceded	<u>15</u>
hostilities, the Soviets probably would deploy some AAA	16
from storage.	<u>17</u>
15. <u>SAM</u> .	18
a. $\underline{SA-2}$ . An SA-2 barrier runs generally from the	<u>19</u>
Kola Peninsula along the western and southern borders of the	20
USSR into central Asia with deployment in the Baltic coastal	21
areas particularly dense. SA-2 point defenses have been	22
provided for most Soviet cities and industrial areas, naval	23
and port facilities, missile test ranges, strategic missile	24
sites, and airfields of Long Range Aviation (DA). It is	25
estimated that SA-2 deployment is complete, and a selective	26
phase-out of some units is taking place. Deployed SA-2	<u>27</u>
systems have been upgraded by improved electronics	28

(1) See Table A 13, Part III - Section 3.

SECRET US 161/76 29

30 31

SECRE	

b. SA-3. Apart from concentrations around Moscow	1
and Leningrad, deployment of SA-3 has been for defense of	2
important military installations and to form a partial	3
barrier along the Baltic coast between Leningrad and the	4
northern end of the Soviet-Polish border. NSWP SA-3 sites	5
continue this partial barrier along the Baltic coast through	<u>6</u>
the GDR. In addition, there has been extensive deployment	7
in the Black Sea area of the USSR. The number of ready	8
missiles at about 30 percent of the SA-3 sites have been	<u>9</u>
increased by replacing two rail launchers with four rail	10
launchers.	11
c. $SA-5$ , SA-5 are deployed in barrier fashion to	12
encompass most of the heartland of the USSR including an SA-5	13
ring around Moscow. SA-5 are also deployed in eastern USSR.	14
16 A: C	

16. Aircraft. APVO interceptors provide the first line <u>15</u> of air defense and would attempt to intercept enemy aircraft 16 prior to launch of air-to-surface missiles (ASMs). APVO units <u>17</u> also provide a defense in-depth behind SAM barriers, fill 18 gaps in SAM coverage, and augment point defense of special 19 target complexes. APVO units are concentrated most heavily 20 in the area west of the Urals and in the southern maritime 21 region of the Soviet Far East. All interceptors in APVO have 22 an all-weather intercept capability. Some, however, are 23 FARMER and FRESCO whose capabilities are poor, but these 24 aircraft are gradually being replaced. In recent years, <u>25</u> improvements have been noted in the Air-Intercept (AI) radars 26 employed by Soviet fighters. Because of the limited 27 effectiveness of existing Air-To-Air Missiles (AAMs) when 28 fired downward at targets at low altitude, the Soviets will <u>29</u> either have to continue to engage targets from below or in 30 near co-altitude intercepts, develop new missiles, or rely 31

1

2

<u>3</u>

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

26

27

28

<u>29</u>

<u>30</u>

31

### SECRET

almost exclusively on guns for fighter armament at very low altitudes. Air defense aircraft may operate singly or in groups, depending on the number of targets. Approach to the target is usually made under close GCI control and may be either a rear or head-on attack depending on the fighter involved.

# Electronic Warfare

electronic warfare, particularly electronic countermeasures (ECM), by an attacking force to be of great concern. The Soviets have developed a variety of electronic countercountermeasure (ECCM) techniques to counter this threat. ECCM measures include a proliferation of radars to provide frequency diversity across a wide region of the radar band. Soviet ECCM practices are also revealed in the design of their radars and by the training of air defense personnel to operate in an ECM environment. These steps serve to reduce the vulnerability of Soviet air defense radars to deliberate electronic interference but, nonetheless, Soviet air defense capabilities would be degraded by suitable ECM and other penetration aid techniques.

25X5

#### Defense Alert

18. On selected airfields, both in the Soviet Union and NSWP countries, some fighters and interceptors are held at varying states of readiness, depending for example, on the strategic importance of the area and the political climate at the time. On most strategic air defense airfields in the

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-8

PART II - Section 7

# SECRET

25X5

peripheral areas, some aircraft are maintained at readiness	<u>1</u>
day and night. From the highest state of alert, i.e.,	2
cockpit readiness at the end of the runway, it is expected	DIA 3
that these aircraft	25X5 <u>4</u>
Combat air patrols	<u>5</u>
in border areas are flown regularly. In time of increased	<u>6</u>
tension, many aircraft would probably be dispensed.	7
19. SAM sites in general are believed held at a readiness	8
condition consistent with the availability of warning and the	<u>9</u>
defensive posture for the respective area. Thus, the	10
missiles would not normally be activated until alerted by	11
an early warning net.	12
Logistics and Maintenance	<u>13</u>
20. APVO home bases are believed to have substantial	14
amounts of on-base Petroleum, Oils, and Lubricants (POL)	<u>15</u>
storage, and additional POL may be found at APVO dispersal	<u>16</u>
airfields. However, the stored POL would have to be augmented	<u>17</u>
during prolonged hostilities. The very large off-base, air-	18
subordinated POL stocks located at central depots would be	<u>19</u>
apportioned through the Rear Services Organization. Most APVO	20
home bases have ammunition and air-to-air missile (AAM)	21
storage facilities. Known off-base stocks of both POL and	22
ammunition are generally located near rail lines, the primary	<u>23</u>
means of delivery to the airfields. Squadron-level aircraft	24
maintenance and repair are accomplished by elements of the	<u>25</u>
Aviation Services on the individual operational airfields.	<u>26</u>
Major overhaul is performed at centralized aircraft main-	27
tenance and repair facilities scattered throughout the USSR.	28
This system, which eliminates the need for extensive maintenance	29
facilities and highly specialized technical personnel and	<u>30</u>

SECRET US 161/76

equipment at each operational airfield, has been effective

II-7-9 PART II - Section 7

<u>31</u>

# SECRET

in peacetime. However, the lack of specialized personnel	<u>1</u>
and equipment at the operational level would probably prove	2
detrimental to APVO maintenance capabilities in any sus-	3
tained conflict, although it affords flexibility of operations	4
at dispersal bases.	<u>5</u>
21. The WP air defense forces can draw on training	<u>6</u>
aircraft and stored aircraft as a combat reserve(1). Some	<u>7</u>
trainer aircraft assigned to APVO operational units could	<u>8</u>
perform combat missions from the outset of hostilities. APVO	9
could also draw on trainer aircraft in air defense pilot	10
training schools for use as attrition fillers. Stored	11
aircraft would not be immediately available, but could be	12
brought into service after a short period of maintenance.	13
22. Little is known of PVO Strany SAM logistics and	14
maintenance procedures. However, sufficient missiles are	<u>15</u>
believed available, at site and depot storage, to support the	<u>16</u>
expected high SAM expenditure rates during an initial phase	<u>17</u>
of hostilities.	18
NSWP HOMELAND AIR DEFENSES	<u>19</u>
General General	20

23. NSWP Homeland Air Defense forces generally follow 21 the Soviet pattern of organization. The Homeland Air Defense 22 forces of GDR, Hungary, and Romania can be considered to 23 fill the strategic air defense role over their own territory 24 protecting key national targets. Bulgarian, Czechoslovak, <u>25</u> and Polish Homeland Air Defense forces would provide a 26 similar defense for their national territories. The latter 27 countries also have tactical air forces (see Part II -28 Section 6). The Homeland Air Defense forces are not expected 29 to deploy forward with the ground forces. 30 31

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-10

PART II - Section 7

<sup>(1)</sup> See Part II - Section 3, Table A 10.

SECRET

DIA

25X5

DIA 25X5

Early Warning, Command and Control		1
24. The NSWP countries have some 170 EW(1) and 65 GCI		2
radar sites utilizing some of the most modern Soviet		<u>3</u>
equipment. Additionally, Soviet forces operate about 60		4
EW(2) and 40 GCI sites in NSWP countries. Coverage above		<u>5</u>
is complete along the major part of		<u>6</u>
the Allied Command Europe (ACE) border; however, in areas		7
of the GDR and along the Baltic coast there is a capability	DIA	8
for tracking of targets	25X5	<u>9</u>
of the radar. Over considerable areas of the NSWP where	J	10
terrain is favorable, targets can be detected	25X5	11
	DIA	<u>12</u>
Sightings of many new SQUAT EYE radars with NSWP forces and	ļ	<u>13</u>
additional ones with the Soviet forces suggest that a		14
concerted effort is being made by the WP to close gaps and		<u>15</u>
to consolidate the EW and surveillance capability. The		<u>16</u>
communications network includes VHF, UHF and landlines to		<u>17</u>
provide flexibility and reliability.		18
Weapons Systems		<u>19</u>
25. <u>SAM</u>		<u>20</u>
a. $SA-2$ . The NSWP countries have deployed and		<u>21</u>
manned about 135 SA-2 sites. These sites are in defense of		22
major cities and important industrial areas, and are part of		23
the peripheral defense of the WP area as a whole. A new		<u>24</u>
GDR SA-2 regiment is under formation in the southwestern		<u>25</u>
area of the GDR. Additional SA-2 systems could be made		<u>26</u>
available to the NSWP countries as the Soviets replace their		<u>27</u>
SA-2 with newer systems.		<u>28</u>
		<u>29</u>
(1) This figure does not include 145 SAM target acquisition		<u>30</u>
radars. (2) This figure does not include 110 SAM target acquisition radars.		31

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-11

PART II - Section 7

b. $SA-3$ . The first SA-3 sites manned by NSWP	1
personnel were seen in Poland at the end of 1970. They were	2
located around Warsaw, where to date four battalions are	<u>3</u>
deployed. Eight new sites have been constructed and	4
occupied in Poland along the Baltic Sea coast and five have	<u>5</u>
been constructed in Czechoslovakia, two of which are opera-	<u>6</u>
tional. Four GDR-manned SA-3 sites are operational, one	<u>7</u>
is under construction, and more could be expected.	8
26. AAA. The NSWP forces commonly use AAA up to 57 mm,	<u>9</u>
mostly radar-controlled. AAA of a larger caliber is still in	10
the inventory of some of the national forces although on a	11
very limited scale. Some SAM sites, radar sites, and	12
airfields have been observed with AAA defense, and it is	13
presumed that this would be common practice in wartime.	14
27. Aircraft. NSWP air forces are composed pre-	<u>15</u>
dominantly of Soviet fighter aircraft types. They are,	<u>16</u>
in general, less well-equipped than their Soviet counterparts,	<u>17</u>
but the numbers of all-weather FISHBED interceptors are	18
steadily increasing. The NSWP nations have about 1,440	<u>19</u>
fighters of which about 1,130 are in homeland air defense	20
units and 310 are in counterair units. At present about	21
80 percent have an all-weather capability.	22
Logistics	23
28. Logistics practices in the NSWP forces are generally	24
patterned after that of the Soviet. Each air force has off-	25
base central POL and ammunition depots. SAM storage	<u>26</u>
facilities exist to supplement stocks on hand at the SAM	<u>27</u>
sites. Rail is the usual means of delivery, and most depots	28

SECRET <del>US 161</del>/76

are within close proximity to rail lines. Maintenance

organization and procedures are also similar to those of

the Soviets, with squadron-level maintenance performed on the

29

30

#### SECRET

individual homebases and major overhaul accomplished at	1
centralized aircraft maintenance and repair facilities. A	2
problem likely to affect maintenance capabilities in all NSWP	3
forces is a shortage of some spare parts. When depleted,	4
many items must be ordered from the USSR where they are	<u>5</u>
tightly controlled and generally not readily forthcoming.	6
WARSAW PACT AIR DEFENSE OF FIELD FORCES	7
<u>General</u>	8
29. Warsaw Pact field forces will be organized in Fronts	9

- during wartime. Air defense of the Front is the overall responsibility of the Chief of the Air Defense Troops of the Front (PVO Voysk). He also will be directly responsible for ground force air defense systems. The counterair fighters of tactical air armies (TAAs) will coordinate with and support the Chief of the Air Defense Troops of the Front as needed while remaining under the control of the TAA commander. The NSWP tactical air defense forces have a structure similar to that of the Soviets and are likely to function in the Front structure as described above.
- 30. Soviet doctrine is followed by relying on in-depth defenses, a variety of systems deployed in large numbers, and a high concentration of fire. The Front air defense resources tend to fall into four general categories:
  - a. Counterair fighters.
  - b. Highly mobile ground force systems.
  - c. Transportable ground force systems.
- d. Mobile command and control, EW, and electronic warfare equipment.
- 31. The combined effect of these tactical air defense  $\frac{29}{30}$  systems is a complex and effective threat to attacking  $\frac{30}{31}$  aircraft. The SAM/AAA system provides the WP ground  $\frac{31}{31}$

10

11

12

13

14

<u>15</u>

16

17

18

19

24

25

26

27

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

31

# SECRET

forces a mobile, virtually self-contained capability to defend against air attack. Frontal Aviation counterair fighters could provide in-depth defense (within GCI coverage), conduct offensive counterair operations, fill gaps resulting from the mobile nature of the conflict, and provide a flexible reserve should ground force resources be depleted in combat. Ground based electronic warfare equipment would be used to attack aircraft avionics and communications equipment. The mobility, large numbers of systems, redundancy of coverage and continuing improvement of the overall air 10 defense network will make the WP defenses increasingly 11 12 difficult to neutralize. The WP now can engage targets 13 at all altitudes, although the low altitude defenses are 14 generally effective only for point targets. Nonetheless, 15 for the near term future, the tactical air defense system 16 will likely continue to be susceptible to ECM, saturation 17 raids, standoff weapons, and nuclear effects. 18 Early Warning, Command and Control

19 32. The WP tactical air defense weapons are netted 20 together by a dense and overlapping system of early warning, 21 acquisition, and GCI radars and command and control systems. The radar systems give excellent coverage at medium and high 22 23 altitudes but experience degradation at low altitudes. 24 However, in specific areas, mast-mounted systems, better siting. 25 and improvements to the radars will enhance low altitude 26 coverage. Almost all of the radar and command and control 27 systems are mounted on wheeled or tracked vehicles and can 28 rapidly shift their operating areas. The WP employs HF, VHF, 29 UHF, and microwave radio links in addition to landlines, to 30

SECRET US 161/76

# SECRET

provide the communication required for the air defense system.

In most areas, ground-to-air data link reporting has been introduced and the threat of saturation during low-altitude attack has compelled the WP to expend great effort to improve performance and capacity of their overall data link systems.

1

2

3

4

5

14

6 33. Under wartime conditions, there would probably 7 be a variety of systems used to integrate and control SAM 8 and air systems. Altitude layering, zonal restrictions, time 9 separation, and IFF could all be used to facilitate weapon 10 use. The use of zonal restrictions in the area of the 11 forward edge of the battle area (FEBA) would provide 12 relatively free fire zones for Soviet ground force air 13 defense weapons.

#### Weapons Systems

- 15 General. The WP ground forces appear to be attempting to develop and deploy an organic air defense system capable 16 17 of defending the ground forces from air attack, even in the 18 absence of FA counterair fighter support. This system 19 provides area defense at medium altitudes, and provides 20 defense at low altitudes for point as well as some larger 21 areas due to overlap of point target coverage. The trend 22 is toward a mobile air defense system which can move at the 23 pace of battle.
- phased out eventually in favor of a mobile system. The SA-4 has already replaced some tactical SA-2s in the GSFG, and is doing the same in the USSR. The SA-2 is currently deployed with each of the Soviet Groups of Forces in Hungary and GDR. The SA-2 is used primarily for defense of relatively static rear area installations, as it is not sufficiently 30

mobile to provide	continuous support to maneuvering troops.
The transportable	SA-3 is deployed at a number of Soviet
airfields in Polar	d, Hungary, and GDR.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 36. The SA-4 brigade is organized into three battalions with three batteries per battalion. The battery is the basic firing unit and consists of three transporter-erector-launchers (TELs) which carry two missiles each. The conversion of an SA-2 regiment to an SA-4 brigade provides three times the firepower with about a 15 percent increase in manpower. In addition to providing medium and high altitude defense of the FEBA, it will probably be retained for front and army-level area defense. The SA-4 is presently deployed with some Soviet forces, and initial equipment acquisition and possible deployment has been made to Czechoslovakia and East Germany. A new short nose variant of the SA-4 missile has been observed in the USSR and also in a Czechoslovakian parade.
- 18 37. The nucleus of the low altitude, ground-based air 19 defense system is based on the SA-6, SA-9, and ZSU-23-4 20 systems. These systems will be further augmented by 21 deployment of the SA-8. The large number of SA-7, AAA, 22 and hand-held weapons effectively supplement the other 23 weapons to provide a point target with a low altitude 24 screen having a rapid reaction capability. To defeat ECM 25 and low altitude tactics, the Soviets have introduced optical 26 tracking for most of these SAM/AAA systems.
- 38. The SA-6 has been observed with the Bulgarian,

  Czechoslovakian, Hungarian, and Polish ground forces, as

  well as the GSFG, CGF, and SGF. In addition to the proven

  combat performance of the SA-6, the system is extremely

  difficult to locate in a combat environment. The SA-6 can

- 39. The mobile SA-8 is a self-propelled, low altitude, short range system mounted on a wheeled vehicle and fitted with a radar. It is now being deployed with Soviet ground forces in the USSR. It should further enhance low-to-medium altitude coverage for ground force point targets. The SA-8 is believed to be ultimately the replacement for the 57mm S-60 AAA gun in divisions which do not receive the SA-6.
- 40. The SA-9 is a low altitude system mounted on the armored amphibious reconnaissance vehicle BRDM-2. It is now deployed with all the groups of forces, some Soviet naval infantry units, the Soviet airborne forces, Polish units and probably Czech and GDR units. Four IR seeking missiles are pod mounted on top of the vehicle. Vehicle mounting offers advantages in command and control, chemical-biological-radiological (CBR) protection for the crew and the coordination of fire. Four SA-9 and four ZSU 23-4 are employed within a mixed missile/gun battery at tank and motorized rifle regiment level. Some SA-9 are located at Soviet airfields in Hungary, in conjunction with the SA-3 and may be used for airfield defense or training.
- 41. The SA-7 is a man-portable SAM which has been most effective against targets with a speed of less than 700 km/hr and at altitudes below 3,000 meters. During the October Middle East War, the SA-7 made close-in attacks against front line troops hazardous. The SA-7 is being widely distributed in WP ground force maneuver elements.

<u>13</u>

<u>16</u>

42. AAA. AAA systems complement the SAM weapons,
particularly in low altitude air defense. The WP relies
heavily on AAA up to 57mm, much of which is radar-controlled,
for air defense of ground forces (1). The towed $57 \mathrm{mm}$
S-60 AAA gun is still the standard divisional air defense
weapon although it is being replaced by the SA-6 or the SA-8
in some Soviet divisions. The proliferation of the combat
proven ZSU-23-4 indicates a continuing Soviet interest in
improving their AAA capability. Many WP armored fighting
vehicles (AFV) are fitted with AA machine guns. It is
estimated that the WP and particularly the Soviets maintain
a significant stock of various types of AAA weapons in depots
or field storage. In addition, provision is made for AAA
defense of some static installations, particularly airfields
and SAM sites. Also, the WP soldier is trained to utilize
his individual or crew-served weapon for air defense. Taken
together, these large and small caliber AA weapons establish
a density of firepower which makes low-altitude operations
over the FEBA difficult. In addition to downing aircraft,
they have the effect of forcing them into the more lethal
field of the SAMs and fighter aircraft.
43. Aircraft. (See Part II - Section 6) The counterair
fighters of the Soviet Tactical Air Armies and the NSWP
Tactical Air Forces would be used for air defense or field
forces. For this mission the air army would use aircraft
for attacks on enemy airfields, for engagements of enemy

(1) See Part II - Section 3.

aircraft as far forward as possible, and where necessary for

event, will be the achievement of at least local air superiority

the immediate defense of ground forces. The goal, in any

1

2

<u>3</u>

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

over the battlefield. The FISHBED and increasing numbers of FLOGGER are the primary counterair fighters in the WP. The FISHBED probably does not have a highly effective intercept capability at low altitudes (below 500m). However, the FLOGGER is believed to have a limited capability to detect, track, and engage targets flying below the interceptor altitude.

### Electronic Warfare

**SECRET** 

44. The WP will use electronic warfare as an integral part of its tactical air defense system. The WP has various types of vehicle-mounted electronic warfare equipment. This includes noise and deception jamming systems as well as intelligence collection systems for electronic detection and other purposes. Active and passive jammers would be used to interfere with attacking aircraft avionics, particularly radars, and communication systems. The equipment is likely to be widely deployed and would be used in defense of all important targets. The large numbers of radar, frequency diversity, and operator training combine to give the WP a certain inherent ECCM capability. Despite this, the WP is believed to be susceptible to sophisticated ECM operations. Logistics

23 45. The WP forces probably have stores of SAMs and 24 AAA ammunition at storage sites in the NSWP countries. These 25 stores are probably sufficient to sustain WP forces during 26 the initial stages of a conflict even though an extremely 27 high expenditure rate of munitions is expected. Resupply 28 under combat conditions from depot stocks could be done by 29 helicopters or ground vehicles. SAM logistics could become 30 a problem if the period of hostilities is prolonged or 31 involves rapid troop movements. Additional stocks are

available in the USSR. Large amounts of AAA are in storage	1
and in military depots in the USSR.	2
46. Refer to Part II - Section 6, Air Forces, for	3
Frontal Aviation logistics.	4
TRAINING	5
47. APVO operates two training schools which provide a	6
general engineering education and military training, as well	7

- 8 as pilot training, during the 4-year curriculum. Newly 9 graduated pilots are assigned to operational units for 10 further training. Unit training is characterized by 11 repetitive missions with little or no deviation from a 12 standard syllabus. Strict discipline is enforced, which <u>13</u> insures meeting of training requirements but allows little 14 in the way of pilot initiative, realistic aerial combat 15 maneuvers, and individual target acquisition without close 16 ground radar control. Live air-to-air missile firing is 17 regularly practiced. See Part II - Section 6 for further 18 details of WP pilot training.
- 48. The ground force air defense personnel train

  extensively in all aspects of the air defense problem. They

  continually exercise against simulated and real targets with

  emphasis on an ECM/ECCM environment. Mobility and all-weather

  training are included in their training syllabus which has

  generally resulted in a high level of readiness for these

  forces.

  PASSIVE DEFENSES.

# PASSIVE DEFENSES

49. An important part of the WP strategic and tactical  $\frac{27}{}$  air defense is passive defensive systems and measures. These include hardening, dispersal, and use of dummy  $\frac{29}{}$  equipment. Camouflage can be carried out by laying down  $\frac{30}{}$  smokescreens, setting up corner reflectors to confuse aircraft  $\frac{31}{}$ 

SECRET US 161/76

radar systems, and using various deceptive paints and covers.

Furthermore, SAM sites no longer operational can be used for deception.

almost half of the APVO bases particularly those located along the periphery of the Soviet Union. The PVO interceptor force, as a whole, however, is not as extensively protected at bases in the interior as their counterparts in FA. Those interior bases without shelters in APVO are equipped with open aircraft revetments. The apparent lack of hardened shelters at APVO bases, however, is explained in part by expected APVO dispersal tactics and the fact that many bases are beyond the range of enemy tactical aircraft. In all other respects, hardened aircraft shelters for APVO are not markedly different from those of FA discussed in Section 6.

As in FA, construction programs for hardening of POL storage, command and control, and other facilities are continuing.

51. The great strength of WP strategic and tactical air 19 20 defenses lies in the capability and number of systems deployed, the commonality of equipment, general standardization of 21 operational procedures, and the effectiveness of the newer 22 systems. Within the USSR, aircraft and missiles are deployed 23 to defend against the entire air threat. However, the PVO 24 Strany system has no effective defense against sophisticated 25 26 ASMs, such as SRAM, once the ASM is launched. The FOXBAT and 27 SA-5 may have some capability against HOUND DOG type ASMs 28 flying at medium to high altitudes. Other SAM systems may 29 have a marginal capability under favorable circumstances 30 against ASM of the HOUND DOG type.

1 2

<u>3</u>

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

- 52. In a defense environment not subject to defense suppression, current APVO fighters and SAMs are capable of inflicting heavy losses on aircraft at medium and high altitudes. Except at certain point defended targets, defenses in the USSR will not be effective against aircraft operating at low and very low altitudes. The PVO Strany system in most areas cannot provide continuous low-altitude tracking. Soviet reliance on close GCI and current aircraft weapon system limitations also restrict interceptor defense capabilities at low altitudes.
- 53. The NSWP air defense forces provide an additional barrier to the West, which provides an additional depth to defense of the USSR. However, NSWP national air defense forces, in general, are less effective than those of the Soviets.
- 54. The WP has a significant ECCM capability by virtue of the large number and variety of radars deployed. Nonetheless, the system is believed to be vulnerable to ECM, saturation attacks, and standoff weapons, particularly at night or in conditions of bad visibility.
- 55. The air defense system protecting the ground forces presents an effective threat at all tactical altitudes: defense against aircraft at low-altitude is limited to point targets except where defenses overlap. The WP forces in the NSWP countries, particularly those facing the NATO Central Region, have a virtually complete medium to high altitude air defense envelope as well as large areas of low-altitude coverage due to the overlap of point target coverages. The mobility and concentration of firepower available to the Soviet ground forces, and to a lesser extent the NSWP ground forces, provide a dense and flexible air defense cover. This

<u>5</u>

1 2

3

4

5

6

<u>7</u> 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

### **SECRET**

low and medium altitudes. The system may be susceptible to logistics problems and possible local weapon exhaustion due to the anticipated high rates of fire, especially during mobile warfare. In the NSWP countries, the density of air movement is likely to be extremely high, and in the case of a fluid ground situation, the successful integration of the various national and Soviet air and ground defense elements will be difficult. It probably will be approached by strictly separating fighter and ground based air defense on the basis of established engagement zones. Although not commonly exercised, procedures for autonomous operation by SAM and air units exist. If the Soviets attempt to maintain a strong centralized control of the tactical air battle, their overall effectiveness will probably suffer.

# FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS AND TRENDS

#### PVO Strany

56. PVO Strany will probably emphasize qualitative improvements during the next 5 years:

a. Soviet air surveillance and control forces will 20 continue to have good detection and tracking capabilities 21 22 against aircraft at medium and high altitudes. It is likely 23 that the Soviets will continue to improve radars and techniques 24 specifically designed to counter low-altitude penetration. 25 Although additional deployment of radars on towers will 26 enhance coverage in limited areas, little Soviet improvement 27 in ground-based continuous tracking capability at low altitude 28 for large areas of the USSR is foreseen in the near future. 29 Deployment of new AWACS aircraft with a look-down capability 30 over-land represents the best potential solution for large 31 area coverage and tracking, but this development is unlikely

until the early 1980s. It is likely that the Soviet will attempt to force attackers to fly at higher altitudes by using ECM against their terrain-avoidance radars. It is reasonable to expect further Soviet attempts to improve the capacity, flexibility, and security of air defense command and control communications, thereby permitting improved coordination of interceptor and SAM operations. Continued efforts to harden command and control facilities at regional headquarters and at operational sites are also expected.

- b. Problems of intercepting and destroying strategic attack aircraft at low altitudes will remain formidable and are unlikely to be overcome in the near future. Despite probable improvements to SAM systems, their limited range at very low altitudes would require such a large number of systems as to preclude their deployment as area defense systems, except in very special circumstances. Given the limited capability of the SA-1 system, it will probably be phased out by the early 1980s.
- 19 c. PVO Strany is currently seeking advances in the 20 low-altitude capability of its current interceptor force. 21 Modernization of the fighter defenses, e.g., the increasing 22 use of automation and fighters equipped with mixed air-to-air 23 missiles (AAM), has improved effectiveness at medium and 24 high altitudes, but this has not solved the problem at low 25 altitude. The interceptor force effectiveness will improve 26 as more FLAGON E are deployed and possibly new aircraft are 27 added to APVO. FLOGGER, believed to have a limited capability 28 to detect, track, and engage targets flying below the inter-29 ceptor altitude, is a candidate as a new low-altitude APVO 30 interceptor and could begin deployment in 1976. A variant 31 of some other existing aircraft is also possible during the

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

late 1970s. AAM improvements are expected; it also is	1
likely that the Soviets will develop a look-down AI radar	2
and compatible shoot-down AAM which are better than the	3
capability attributed to FLOGGER. APVO interceptor strength	4
has declined gradually over the years, and this trend is	<u>5</u>
expected to continue as obsolescent aircraft are replaced	<u>6</u>
by smaller numbers of more capable aircraft.	7
d. Emphasis will remain on destruction of ASM	8
carriers before weapon launch as no effective defense against	<u>9</u>
sophisticated ASMs in flight is likely in the near future.	10
e. It is not likely that the Soviets will be able	11
to develop and deploy any exotic new weapons, such as a	12
laser weapon capable of downing an aircraft, during the	13
next 5 years. The Soviets are conducting research on OHD	14
radars which, if successful, may be able to provide a	<u>15</u>
significant increase in early warning time against aircraft	<u>16</u>
approaching at any altitude several hundred miles from the	<u>17</u>
Soviet border.(1)	18
NSWP Homeland Air Defense	<u>19</u>
57. The NSWP National Air Defense forces are expected	20
to improve qualitatively by addition of new aircraft, e.g.,	21
late model FISHBED and probably FLOGGER, additional modern SAMs,	22
as well as upgraded and new radar systems. It is also	23
expected that command and control systems and procedures	24
will evolve toward improved integration of NSWP and Soviet	25
strategic defense forces.	26
WP Air Defense of the Field Forces	27
58. WP air defense of the field forces will likely	28
continue to be based on a dual system of aircraft and ground-	<u>29</u>
based equipment. The trend of quantitative and qualitative	30

(1) See Part II - Section 3.

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-25 PART II - Section 7

# SECRET

DIA **DIA** 25X5 improvement of these forces is expected to continue. Emphasis will likely be placed on ECCM, mobility, and firepower. The SA-8 is expected to further increase the WP mobile low altitude defense capabilities probably achieving a minimum capability

Further SA-4, SA-6, SA-7,

SA-8, SA-9, ZSU-23-4, and mobile radar system deployments are expected in Soviet forces, pointing toward a completely mobile air defense. The NSWP forces will receive limited numbers of these systems also. The counterair capabilities of the Frontal Aviation fighter force will also improve as FLOGGER deployment continues. A new Soviet counterair aircraft could enter service in the early 1980s. In tactical as strategic, increasing use of ECM against bombing and terrain-avoidance radars is likely. Refined electro-optical sighting aids will continue to be deployed for ECCM purposes, and a laser target designator could be used in the late 1970s to guide semiactive, homing-type

# OTHER EUROPEAN COMMUNIST AIR DEFENSES

#### Albania

missiles.

59. Fighter defense is provided by approximately 100 aircraft (FAGOT/FRESCO/FARMER/FISHBED). Chinese military aid, which has included the delivery of both FARMER and FISHBED-type aircraft as well as training personnel, has improved the air defense capability of this force. Many of the aircraft originally supplied by the USSR are old and the serviceability rate is estimated to be poor. The EW and control function is provided by older generation Soviet equipment whose capability is thought to be low due to age and shortages of spares. Presently, there are four operational SA-2 sites in Albania even though eight systems were provided,

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-26

PART II - Section 7

<u>15</u>

<u>16</u>

three by the USSR and five by the Peoples Republic of China.

- the Soviet pattern, is considered to be good. Of about 120 air defense aircraft, essentially of Soviet origin, 75 have all-weather capability (FISHBED D/F/Jx), the remainder being day fighters. EW and control are achieved by using Soviet radars, French radars, and a progressively decreasing number of obsolescent United States radars.
- 61. There are seven operational SA-2 sites with one additional site under construction. At least four SA-3 battalions, equipped with four rail launchers, have recently been observed with at least two battalions (sites) being deployed along the northern border opposite Trieste. Additionally, SA-6 and SA-7 SAMs have been introduced into the ground forces. Coordination of these air defenses is generally effective, and continuous efforts are being made towards improvement of deficiencies.

# PART III

# SECTION 1

# GROUND FORCES TABLES

TABLE G 1	DISTRIBUTION OF SOVIET MAJOR LINE UNITS I CATEGORY AND TYPE (MID-1976)	3Y
TABLE G 2	DISTRIBUTION OF NSWP MAJOR LINE UNITS BY CATEGORY AND TYPE (MID-1976)	

DISTRIBUTION OF SOVIET MAJOR LINE UNITS BY CATEGORY AND TYPE (MID-1976) (i)

				2		7	1				
TANK				DIVISIONS		DIVISIONS	TONS	CATE	CATEGORY TOTALS	AI S	
CAT A	CAT B	CAT C	CAT A	CAT B	CAT C	CAT A	CAT C	CAT A	CAT B	CAT C	DIVISION TOTALS
10	;	•	0[	,	ı			00			ć
2	,	1	) I	ı		1 1	ı	2 6	ı		07
2			m				1 1	<b>4</b> π	t	1	7 1
2			2	•	ı			٥ ٨			n =
								-			+
1	_	•	2	_	4	_	,	~	0	_	c
						-			3	,	2
	m	ı	,	-	~	-		_	٧	•	c
_	7	,	,	. 1			-	- ი	+ 1-	<b>+</b> -	, ב
_	. 7	ı	- 1	ĸ	- ~	- •	•	ი		- ,	= 5
					,		1	-	-	2	
•	,	,	1	m	4	-		-	·	•	c
						-		-		•	0
ı		,	1	m	œ	_	,	-	~	o	-
•	<b>-</b>		ŧ	) 1	) LC	- 1		- 1	) r-	o 14	71
,			,	ŗ.	. 4	-		ı ,	,	o =	ט ט
					-	-		-	-	+	0
	2	,	,	-	0	-		-	ç	·	
,	9		ı	. ,	1 4	- 1		- 1	າແ		٥٤
										,	0.
ı	_	,	,		^	,	-	1	-		r
,		,	,	,	ı (r.	•			1	J 6	יז מי
										,	
_	,		_	m	~			6	٣	,	7
		ı		•	ı m	,		J 1	0 0	10	~ ⊔
_	_	1	m		. 4			4	10	? <	
_	t	,	4	9	00	,		- ىد	ו עב	- α	2 6
-			_				,	, ~			6
										+	
22	25	•	27	56	09	7	_	26	51	- [9	168
	22 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 22 - 22		25	25	25 10 3 2 2 2 2 1 2	25 - 10 - 3 - 10 - 3 - 10 - 3 - 10 - 3 - 10 - 10	25 - 10 - 3 - 10 - 3 - 10 - 3 - 10 - 3 - 10 - 10	2 3 + 4   1   3   1   1   2   1   1   3   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	25 - 10	20	20       -

(i) For details of Naval Infantry see Part II - Section 5.

SECRET US 161/76

III-1-2

PART III - Section 1

TABLE G 2
DISTRIBUTION OF NSWP MAJOR LINE UNITS BY CATECORY AND TYPE (MID-1976) (1)

	TANK	TANK DIVISIONS	NS N.	MOTO	MOTORIZED RIFLE DIVISIONS	FLE IS	AB	SLD	MTN	CA	DIV/BDE CATEGORY TOTALS	TALS	DIV/BDE TOTAL	PERSONNEL TOTALS
	CAT A CAT B	CAT B	CAT C	CAT A	CAT B	CAT C				CAT A	CAT B	CAT C		
GDR	7	ı	ı	4	ı	ı	ŧ	1	ı	9	ı	ı	9	- <del> </del>
POLAND	Ŋ	ı	1	က	က	7	Н	1	ı	10	က	7	15	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	m	1	2	4	ı	н	ı	I	ı	7	Ì	ю	10	
HUNGARY	Н	ı	ı	сī	ı	2	ı	ı	1	4	I	7	9	
ROMANIA	7	ı	ţ	2	ო	1	ı	ł	2	7+ 2 Bdes	er.	1	10+ 2 Bdes	
BULGARIA	5 Bdes	ı	ı	'n	н	7	I	ı	1	5+ 5 Bdes	н	2	8+ 5 Bdes	
TOTALS	13+ 5 Bdes	i	2	24	7	7	-	П	2	39+ 7 Bdes	7	6	55+ 7 Bdes	

(i) For details of amphibious units see Part II - Section 5

SECRET US 161/76

III-1-3

PART III - Section 1

# PART III

# SECTION 2

# NAVAL FORCES TABLES

Table N 1	Estimated OOB of Soviet Submarines by Fleets (Mid-1976 to Mid-1978) and Total OOB (Mid-1981 and Mid-1985)
Table N 2	Estimated OOB of Soviet Submarine Support Ships by Fleets (Mid-1976)
Table N 3	Estimated OOB of Soviet Surface Combatants by Fleets (Mid-1976 to Mid-1978) and Total OOB (Mid-1981 and Mid-1985).
Table N 4	Estimated AOB of Soviet Naval Aviation by Fleets (Mid-1976 and Mid-1979) and Total AOB (Mid-1982 and Mid-1985)
Table N 5	Estimated OOB of Non-Soviet Warsaw Pact Navies by Countries (Mid-1976)
Table N 6	Estimated AOB of Non-Soviet Warsaw Pact Navies by Countries (Mid-1976)
Table N 7	Estimated OOB of Warsaw Pact Amphibious Ships, Landing Craft, and Air Cushion Vehicles by Fleets/ Countries (Mid-1976)
Table N 8	Merchant Shipping of the Warsaw Pact - 1 Jan 1976
Table N 9	Fishing Fleets of the Warsaw Pact - 1 Jan 1976
Table N 10	Estimated Naval Hydrographic/Oceanographic Fleets of the Warsaw Pact (Mid-1976)

SECRET US 161/76

ESTIMATED OOB OF SOVIET SUBMARINES BY FLEETS (MID-1976 TO MID-1978) AND TOTAL OOB (MID-1981 AND MID-1985)
(Additional submarines estimated to be in reserve are shown in parenthesis)

201		No.		Mid-1976	1976				Mid-1977	977				Mid-1978	978			Mid-1981	Mid-1985
CLASS	TYPE	MISSILE NOR TUBES	NOR		BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL		TOTAL
BALLISTIC MISSILE NUCLEAR			ļ																
DELTA OR SUCCESSOR	SSBN	12/16(1)15	1)15	ı	ı	æ	18	19	ı	1	2	24	19	ł	1	7	26	^	^
YANKEE	SSBN	16	22	1	ı	12	34	22	1	ı	12	34	22	ı	ı	12	34	)62(11)	)62(ii)
HOTEL II	SSBN	<u>س</u>	7	į	ı	2	9		ı	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı
HOTEL III	SSBN	9	н	1	ſ	ł	<del></del>	<del></del> 1	ı	ı	ı	н	7	ı	1	1	н	н	H
TOTAL	SSBN		7.5	-	1	17	6	42	1	,	17	59	42			19	61	63(11)	63(11)
BALLISTIC MISSILE DIESEL											;								
GOLF I	SSB	·	2	1	i	2	7	2	ı	ı	5	7	2	ı	ı	٠	_	_	7
GOLF II OR OTHER CONVERSIONS	SSB	en 	∞	1	i	7	15	œ	1	1	7	15	00	1	1	7	5	ر. د	بر
TOTAL	SSB		10		,	12	22	10			12	22	10	-	-	12	22	22	22
CRUISE MISSILE NUCLEAR																			
PAPA OR NEW CLASS	SSGN	8/UNK	П	1	1	1	Н	2		1	1	7	4	1	ı	ı	4	10	18
CHARLIE I	SSGN	∞	00	ı	t	3	11	7	1	ı	4	11	9	1	ı	5	11	금	11
CHARLIE II	SSGN	∞	, m	ı	1	ı	ю	4	1	ı	ı	4	2	1	ı	1	2	. 7	7
ЕСНО ІІ	SSGN	8	15	ı	ı	14	29	15	F	1	14	29	15	ı	ı	14	29	29	25(4)
TOTAL	SSGN		27			17	77	27	,	1	18	45	27	,	ı	19	94	59	61(4)

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-2

PART III - Section 2

TABLE N 1 (continued)

<u> </u>			٠						H	PLE N	IAbir N i (continued)	(penu							
	N LO		No.		Mid	Mid-1976				Mid-1977	771		-	Mic	Mid-1978			Mid-1981	Mid-1981 Mid-1985
1	000	LYPE	MISSILE NOR TUBES	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL NOR		BAL	BLA P	PAC TO	TOTAL N	NOR BAL	BLA	A PAC	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
CRU	CRUISE MISSILE DIESEL												-						
JOL	JULIETT	SSG	4	12	ı	I	4	16	12	r	4 -	16		12 -	1	4	16	16	10(6)
WHI	WHISKEY-CONV (LONG BIN)	SSG	4	1	(1)	1(1)	(1)	2(3)	ı	(2)	1(1) (	(1)	1(4)	- (2)	1(1)	(1)	1(4)	(2)	, ,
WHI	WHISKEY-CONV (TWIN CYL)	SSG	7	ŀ	ı	1(1)	1(1)	2(2)	1	1	1(1) (	(2) 1(	1(3)	1	(2)	(1)	(3)	(2)	ı
	TOTAL	SSG		12	(1)	2(2)	5(2)	20(5)	12	(2)	2(2) 4(3)		18(7) 12	2 (2)	1(3)				10(6)
	ATTACK NUCLEAR	-		:									-						
ALFA	Ą	SSN		3	1	ı	ı	 m	4	ı	1	- 4		1	ı	ı	7	7	7
	VICTOR I OR SUCCESSOR	SSN	·	13	ı	ı	4	17	13	1	9	19	14		1	œ	22	31	32
VIC	VICTOR II	SSN		3	ı	ŧ	1		4	1	ı	4		7	ı	ŧ	٠,	000	000
NEW	NEW CLASS	NSS	-	ı	ı	ı	ı		1		ı	ı		1	ı	1	1	) -	) -
NOVI	NOVEMBER	SSN		6		1	4	13	6	ı	4	13		1	1	7	13	11(2)	3(10)
ЕСНО	O TOTAL	SSN		28	•	1 1	5	5	30	1 1	- 5	5	32		1 1	5	5,0	5	4(1)
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	THOUTH TOWN TOWN THE											!				;	}	(7) 00	77770
7111	ACA, LUNG KANGE, DIESEL	-+	64 as 1844 as																
TANC	TANGO OR SUCCESSOR	SS		ന	ı	2	ı	٠	2	1	2 -	7	9	1	3	ı	6	13-15	20
FOX	FOXTROT (iii)	SS		38	2	1	20	60	38 2		- 20	09		36(2) 2	- (	20	58(2)	49	36
ZULU	ZULU (iii)	son of		4(8)	2(2)	1 6	4(5)	10(15)2(10)1(3)	(10)1		1		20) (1	5(20) (10) (3)		(7)	-(20)	(10)	
		3	-	(5) (1)	4 (4)		74(0)	(5)5(01)65(51)6/	(01)(	3(3) 2	(/) 77 (/)		20)  47	(12)2(:	3	20(7)	67(22)	67(22) 52-54(10)	92 (

TABLE N 1 (concluded)

		No.	-	Æ	Mid-1976				Mid-1977	77				Mfd-1978	978			M+ 4-1981M+4-1985	44 d_1085 1
	CLASS TYPE	E MISSILE NOR TUBES	LE NOF	R BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL NOR	1	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
	ATTACK, MEDIUM RANGE, DIESEL						ì												
	BRAVO SS			1	2	1	4		ı	2	Н	7	H	ı	2	-	4	7	4
	ROMEO (111) (1v) SS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6(2)	2) 2	7	I	10(2) 6(2)	6(2)	2	2	1	10(2)	9	(1)	(1)	1	8(4)	2(8)	ı
	WHISKEY (iv) SS		10/	/ 10/ (25)	10/	10/	40/	5/	5/ (20)	5/	5/	20/	2/	2/	3/		10/	(15)	ı
171-	TOTAL MEDIUM RANGE SS	50	17/		_	11/	54/ (67)		1	1	6/	34/	9/	3/(21)	(16)	4/	22/	6(23)	4
2_1	ATTACK, SHORT RANGE, DIESEL																		
	QUEBEC (iv)			(2)	(4)	ı	(6)	1	(5)	(4)	1	(6)	1	(5)	(7)	1	(6)	ı	. 1
	TOTAL NUCLEAR POWERED		97	ı	ı	47	144	100	ı	ı	50 1	150	104			55	159	180(2)	186(15)
DADO	TOTAL DIESEL POWERED		84/	17/	17/ 18/ (33) (21)	52/(22)	171/	79/	10/	13/	44/ 1 (25) (	146/	73/	73/ 5/ (24) (31)	10/	(48)	128/	96-98/	92(6)
	GRAND TOTAL		181/		17/ 18/ (33) (21)	99/	315/	179/ 10/ (22)(30)	1		ł	296/	177/		10/	95/	287/	276-278/	278/

The total of 62 SSBN is It is estimated that a second DELTA successor class may have about  $20 \, \mathrm{missile}$  tubes. The composition of the SLBM force in the 1980s cannot be estimated with confidence. (1) (11)

based upon the 1972 SAL Interim Agreement limits.

The total number of FOXTROT, ZULU, and ROMEO class submarines for the Northern and Baltic fleets represents an estimated average OOB. The actual disposition of units may vary slightly, from time to time, due to interfleet transfers for refit/overhaul.

The rate at which ROMEO, WHISKEY, and QUEBEC classes will be phased out is uncertain. (iii)

(iv)

TABLE N 2

ESTIMATED OOB OF SOVIET SUBMARINE SUPPORT SHIPS BY FLEETS (MID-1976)

CLASS	TYPE	NOR.	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL
SUBMARINE TENDERS MOD DNEPR UGRA DON DNEPR WM BAUER TOTAL	AS AS AS AS AS	1 4 2 2 2 11	- 2 - - - 2	2 - 2	1 1 2 1 -	2 7 6 3 2
SMALL SUBMARINE TE TOMBA ATREK MISCELLANEOUS TOTAL	ASL ASL ASL ASL ASL	1 3 2 6	-	- - -	2 - 2	1 5 2 8
REPAIR SHIPS (i)  AMUR OSKOL TOVDA MISCELLANEOUS TOTAL	AR AR AR AR	5 4 1 1	3 2 - 5	3 3 - 2 8	3 3 - 1 7	14 12 1 4 31
SUBMARINE RESCUE S NEPA PRUT EX-T-58 TOTAL	ASR ASR ASR ASR ASR	1 2 2 5	1 6 7	- 3 4 7	- 3 1 4	1 9 13 23
MISSILE SUPPORT SH MP-6 AMGA LAMA TOTAL	IPS AEM AEM AEM AEM	1 1 2 4	- !	-	2 - 2 4	3 1 4 8

<sup>(</sup>i) Repair ships are not employed exclusively as submarine support ships, but they have this capability.

SECRET US 161/76

PART III - Section 2

T SURFACE COMBATANTS BY FLEETS (MID-1976 TO MID-1978) AND TOTAL OOB (MID-1981 AND MID-1985)

(Black Sea OOB includes the Caspian Flotilla)
(additional ships estimated to be in reserve are shown in parenthesis) TABLE N 3 ESTIMATED OOB OF SOVIET SURFACE COMBATANTS BY

		No.		761-54W	ي			Mio	M1d-1977				Mi	Mid-1978			Mi	Mid-1981 M	Mid-1985
CLASS	TYPE	LNCHRS. NOR BAL SSM SAM	NOR		LA L	PAC 1	TOTAL N	NOR BAL	AL B	BLA PA	PAC TO	TOTAL	NOR B	BAL BI	BLA PAC		TOTAL T	TOTAL	TOTAL
AIRCRAFT CARRIERS			·																
KIEV OR SUCCESSOR	CVSG	UNK			-	1	Н	'   		1		н			2	1	2	7	4
SAM HELICOPTER CRUISERS						J. T.W.													
MOSKVA	СНС	7	,	ı	2	1	2	'   	,	2		2	,		2	_	2	2	2
SSM/SAM CRUISERS																		-	
KARA OR SUCCESSOR (1)	CLGM	8	<u>-</u>	ı	3		4	. 2			- t	۰	2	1	ش			∞	∞
KRESTA I	CLGM	7 7	<u>س</u>	ı	1		4	٠ ٣		1	-	4	33	1	1		4	4	7
KRESTA II OR SUCCESSOR(i) CLGM	) CLGM	8	2		ı	2		9	H	1		6	9	Н	1	3	10	12	12
KYNDA	CLGM	8 2	1	1	2	2	7		1	2	7	4	ı	1	2	2	4	7	7
TOTAL	CLGM		6		5	50	20 1	11	1	5	2	22		1	5	6 2	23	28	28
CRUISERS																		,,	
SVERDLOV	CLCP	2		ı	н		2	1	1	н	 H	2	ı	ı	-		2	2	2
SVERDLOV	CLG	2	1	ı	1		-		1	1			•	1	-	1	н	<del>r-d</del>	(1)
SVERDLOV	Cľ		2	П	2(1)	2(1)	7(2)	2	1	2(1)	2(1)	7(2)	2	Н	2(1)	2(1) 7	7(2)	(6(3)	4(2)
CHAPAEV	Cľ			1(1)	ł	ı	1(1)	1	1(1)	ſ		1(1)	1	1(1)	1	-	1(1)	(1)	ı

TABLE N 3 (continued)

		No.		Mid-1976				×	Mid-1977		-		į ž	M14-1978				1id-1981	Mid-1981 Mid-1985
CLASS	TYPE	LNCHRS. SSM SAM	NO	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL N	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA P	PAC	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
KIROV	ರ		ı	ı	ı	1	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı	1	ı	1	 I	1	ı	1
TOTAL	CLCP/CLG/CL		2	2(1)	4(1)	3(1)	11(3)	2	2(1)	4(1)	3(1)	11(3)	2	2(1)	4(1)	3(1)	11(3)	9(4)	6(3)
MISSILE DESTROYERS												Meet Meet wit visus on				•			
NEW CLASS DI	DLGM/DDGM	UNK	ı	1	,	ı	ı	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	н	6
KRIVAK	DDGSP	7 7	4	<sub>∞</sub>	ı	ı	12	4	<b>∞</b>	٦	1	13	5	7	20	<del></del>	15	20	20
KASHIN	DLGM	7 7	-	2	2	ı	۲		en	m	 I	7	П	33	4		6	12	12
KASHIN	DLG	7	Н	0(1)	7(1)	4	12(2)	ı	(1)	6(1)	4	10(2)	P	0(1)	5(1)	е	8(2)		7
KANIN	DDG	2	4	н	ι	2	7	4	н	1	3	∞	4		ı	6	<b></b>	<b>∞</b>	5(3)
KRUPNYY	DDGS	2	ı		1			ŀ	1	1	ı	1	1	ı	ı	,	· · · · ·	ı	ŀ
MOD KILDIN	DDGS	7	ı	í	3	1	m	1	ı	m	1	3	ı	ı	en	•ь	m	e	٣
KILDIN	DDGS		ı	ı	ı	-		1	1	ı	-	н	1	1	1	H		en .	· m
SAM KOTLIN	DDG	2	2	1	3	2	∞	2	П	3	2	8	2	1	3	2	8	8	6(2)
TOTAL DLGM/DDGM/DDGSP/DLG/DDGS, DDG/	/bre/bbcs/		12	12(1)	2(1) 15(1)	10	49(2)	11	13(1)	16(1) 10		50(2)	12	12(1)	17(1) 11		52(2)	59	62(5)
DESTROYERS																			
KOTLIN	OCC .		2	9	3(1)	8(1)	16(2)	2	3	3(1)	8(1) 16(2)	16(2)	2	3	2(1)		7(3)14(4)	12(2)	8(2)

SECRET

III-2-7

TABLE N 3 (continued)

		No.													+	Ì	
(77) 007 10		MISSILE	X	976				Mid-1977			Mi	Mid-1978			<u>¥</u>	4-1981	Mid-1981 Mid-1985
UM35 (11)	TYPE	SSM BAM	NOR BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR B.	BAL B	BLA PAC	C TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA P	PAC TO	TOTAL T	TOTAL	TOTAL
SKORYY	ΩΩ		4(4) 5(4)	5(5)	4(5)	18(18)3(4) 4(4)	3(4) 4		5(5) 4(	4(5) 16(1	16(18) 3(4) 3(4)		5(4) 3	3(4)	14(16)	8(10)	
TOTAL	QQ		6(4) 8(4)	(9)8 (	12(6)	34(20)5(4) 7(4)	5(4) 7		8(6) 12(6)	1	)) 5(4)		, –	1	7	20(12)	8(2)
DESTROYER ESCORTS																	
NEW CLASS	UNK		1	,	r	1	ı		,	-	ı	ı	٠.	ı	۳	12	27.
RIGA	DE		4(3) 6(2)	) 8(2)	12(2)	30(9) 4	4(4) 5	5(2) 6	6(2) 10(2)		25(10) 3(4) 4(2)		5	8(2)		20(10)	; 5
KOLA	DE		1 -	(T)	ı	(1)			(1)		·				<u>-</u>		<u>)</u> '
MIRKA	DE		- 14	9	1	20	- 14	9	I	2	ı	14		, , ,	20		12(8)
PETYA I and III	DE		8 2	9	9	22	7 2	4	9	19	7		9				13(6)
PETYA II	DE		13 -	4	10	27	13 -	7	10		13			_			26(1)
TOTAL	DE		26(3)22(2)24(3)	2)24(3)	24(2)	100(10)24(4)21(2)	4(4)21	(2) 21(3)	(3) 26(2)	2) 92(1)	+	- 1	(3)		Ē	83(12)	75(20)
COASTAL ESCORTS																	
GRISHA OR SUCCESSOR (i) PCEP/PCE	i) PCEP/PCE	2/-	10 -	10	9	26	10 2	10	7	29	12	7	10	8 34	40		
POTI	PCE		15 20	16	14	65	15 20	16	14	65	14	18	15 1	13 60			
TURYA OR SUCCESSOR	PCH		3 8	9	13	30	4 10	∞	15	37	5	12	9 1	17 43	3 50		
STENKA OR SUCCESSOR	PCS		- 29	10	53	89	- 30	10	30	70	ı	30 1	10 3	30 70			
SO 1	PCS		- 17	12	9	35	- 7	7	ю	15	1	3	2 -				
TOTAL PCEP/PC	PCEP/PCE/PCH/PCS	<u> </u>	28 74	54	89	224	29 69	67	69	216	31 6	7 19	9 97	68 212	2 210		

SECRET

III-2-8

PART III - Section 2

TABLE N 3 (continued)

		No. MISSILE		Mid-1976	92			Σ	Mid-1977	7				Mid-1978	78			Mid-1981
CLASS (ii)	TYPE	LNCHRS. SSM SAM	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL 1	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	TOTAL
MISSILE PATROL CRAFT NANUCHKA OR SUCCESSOR (1)	PGGP	6 2	2	5	80	1	16	2	9	8	2	18	က	7	σ.	ო	21	24
OSA I	PTFG	7	17	16	10	29	72	15	16	10	29	70	15	16	10	27	89	77
OSA II OR SUCCESSOR (1)	PTFG	4	6	18	9	15	48	6	18	9	15	84	6	18	9	15	87	48-64
TOTAL PGGP/PTFG	'PTFG		28	39	24	45	136	26	40	24	97	136	27	41	24	45	137	116-132
TORPEDO PATROL CRAFT SHERSHEN OR SUCCESSOR	PT		17	15	10	8	50	17	15	10	00	50	17	15	10	∞	50	40
P6/P4	PT		∞	12	10	10	40	9	10	6	10	35	1	7	9	7	20	ı
TOTAL PTF	PTF/PT		25	27	20	18	90	23	25	19	18	85	17	22	16	15	70	07
HIGH SPEED CRAFT	P G																	
SLEPEN			1	ı	П	I	1	1	ı	г	1		ı	ı	1	ı	П	1
PCHELA			1	13	7	1	20	ı	13	7	ı	20	ı	12	9	1	18	12
TOTAL PGM/PBH	/PBH		1	13	∞		21	ı	13	80	,	21		12	7		19	13
FLEET MINESWEEPERS (111)																		
NATYA OR SUCCESSOR	MSF		9	8	7	3	24	7	6	œ	4	28	∞	10	6	5	32	70
YURKA	MSF		11	13	10	11	45	11	13	10	11	45	i	13	10	11	45	45
T.58	MSF		4	ı	1	11	15	m	1	1	10	13	2	ı	1	10	12	ı
	-							_										

III-2**-**9

TABLE N 3 (concluded)

			No. MISS ILE		Mid-1976	976			×	Mid-1977				Σ	Mid-1978				M1d-1981
	CLASS (11)	TYPE	LNCHRS. SSM SAM	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL NOR BAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	TOTAL
		MSF		15	20	10	20	65	10	20	10	20	09	10	15	5	20	50	10
	IOIAL	MSF		36	41	27	45	149	31	42	28	45	146	31	38	24	94	139	95
	SMALL MINESWEEPERS (iii)																		
	SASHA	MSM		ı	6	3	ı	12	ı	œ	2	ı	10	1	5	2	ı	7	ı
	T.301	MSM		1	2	Н	ı	ന	ı	ı	ı	ı	,	ı	ı	1	ı	ı	ı
TTT	SONYA OR SUCCESSOR	MSC		4	∞	ı	4	16	4	10	7	9	24	9	12	9	80	32	48
-2-1	VANYA MSC/MHC	/MHC		17	23	16	17	73	17	23	16	17	73	17	23	16	17	73	65
0	ZHENYA	MSC		1	3	ı	ì	3		٣	1	1	ю	1	e	ı	i	т	က
	TOTAL MSM/MHC/MSC	/MSC		21	45	20	21	107	20	43	24	19	110	23	43	24	25	115	116
P.	(i) Successor class may not have the same number of missile launcher	ot have ocher	the same		lle sy	missile system or	Į.		(11)	00B f high confi	00B for the various cla high speed craft, and m confidence beyond 1981.	variou craft,	is class and min 1981.	ses of	00B for the various classes of coastal escorts, patrol and high speed craft, and minesweepers cannot be estimated with confidence beyond 1981.	al esco	orts, poe esti	patrol imated	and with
ART III									(111)	About and 1 in a	About 15 percent of and 10 percent of th in a reserve status.	rcent c ent of e statu	of the i the sma	otal all mj	About 15 percent of the total number of fleet minesweepers and 10 percent of the small minesweepers are likely to be in a reserve status.	of fle ers ar	eet min e like	esweep	ers

III-2-10

ESTIMATED AOB OF SOVIET NAVAL AVIATION BY FLEETS TABLE N

# (MID-1976 AND MID-1979) AND TOTAL AOB (MID-1982 AND MID-1985)(1)

				9761-GIM					MID-1979			MID-1982	MID-1985
		NORTH	BALTIC	BLACK(ii)	PACIFIC	TOTAL	NORTH	BALTIC	BLACK(ii)	PACIFIC	TOTAL		
	STRIKE SUBSONIC	65	72	56	100	293 (iii)	99	99	45	84	250	205	120
ВЕВ	STRIKE SUPERSONIC	,	24	40 (iv)		64	15 (iv)	38	42 (iv)		95 (×)	150 (v1)	190 (vii)
BOW	TANKERS	23	13	15	58	79		5	20	25	80	08	
	SUBSONIC	26	თ	9	53	124 (viii)	53	50	10	20	135 (viii)	100 (viii)	ڪ
CCE	SUPERSONIC	 	က	က	ı	9	1	m	ო	ı	2	15	20
ВЕ	HELICOPTERS	9	rc	7	∞	56	∞	ις.	10	1	30	52	0
M AT	FIXED WING (ix)	26	21	36	55	168	63	21	41	22	180	210	225
iza 'Aq	HEL ICOPTERS	22	30	85	70	240	40	15	120	50	225	275	305
UNKNOMN	V/ST0L	'		15	•	15		·	(x)		09	70	105

Forty-five Medium Fixed Wing and 85 Helicopter transport not included here, but included in Table A 1. Numbers shown do not include 24 BADGER, 7 MAIL, 12 HORMONE A, 2 BACKFIRE, 2 MAY, and 2 BEAR F at Nikolayev/ (i) (ii)

Kulbakino (Training a/c.).

Includes 11 BACKFIRE.

Includes 40 BACKFIRE.

(Possibly some for use in reconnaissance role.)

Includes 95 BACKFIRE.

(Possibly some for use in reconnaissance role.)

Includes 140 BACKFIRE.

(Possibly some for use in reconnaissance role.)

Includes 140 BACKFIRE.

(Possibly some for use in reconnaissance role.) (111)

Includes two CUB signal intelligence collection aircraft in each Fleet. (iv)
(v)
(vi)
(vii)
(viii)
(ix)
(ix)

subordination may

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-11

# TABLE N 5 ESTIMATED OOB OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT NAVIES BY COUNTRIES (MID-1976)

(Excluding Amphibious Ships and Craft)

CLASS	TYPE	GDR	POLAND	BULGARIA	ROMANIA
SUBMARINES MEDIUM RANGE					
WHISKEY	SS	_	4	2	_
ROMEO	SS	_	<u>-</u>	2	_
SAM DESTROYER					
SAM KOTLIN	DDG	_	1	_	-
NEW CLASS	DDG	-	1	-	-
DESTROYER ESCORTS					
RIGA	DE	2		2	
INTON	UL.			۷	
COASTAL ESCORTS					
HAI	PC	12	-	_	-
KRONSHTADT	PC	-	-	2	3
S0 1	PC	4	-	-	-
OBLUZE	PCS	-	14 (i)	-	-
GDANSK	PC	-	9	-	-
OKSYWIE	PC	-	4	-	-
SHANGHAI	PCS/	-	-	-	12 (i)
POTT	PGM				
POTI	PC	-	-	-	3
MISSILE PATROL CRAFT					
OSA I	PTFG	12	12	3	5
TORPEDO PATROL CRAFT					
SHERSHEN	PTF	15	_	6	_
WISLA	PT	-	12	-	_
P 6	PT	_	3	_	_
P 4	PT	-	_	8	6
ILTIS	PT	38	_	-	-
LIBELLE	PT	5	-	-	-
HUCHWAN	PTH	-	-	-	6
MINE WARFARE SHIPS					
T 43	MSF	_	12	2	_
KRAKE	MSF	3	-	-	_
IM 40	MSF	-	_	-	4
KRONGULEC	MSF	_	12	_	
KONDOR	MSC	34	-	-	-
VANYA	MSC	-	_	4	_
Т 301	MSM	-	-	i	10
PERSONNEL STRENGTH (11)	1	7,500	26,000	10,500	11,000

 <sup>(</sup>i) Numbers include some units from GDR Coastal Border Brigade (GBK)
 Polish Maritime Frontier Guard (WOP), and Romanian Maritime Frontier Guard.
 (ii) Numbers include Border Guards etc., and all naval elements.

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-12

SECRET

TABLE N 6

ESTIMATED AOB OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT NAVIES BY COUNTRIES (MID-1976)

AIRCRAFT ROLE	GDR	POLAND	BULGARIA	ROMANIA	TOTAL
FIGHTER BOMBER RECCE	1	10	1	1	10
FIGHTER BOMBER ATTACK	•	36	1	1	36
ASW HELICOPTER (HOUND)	10	5	9	4	25
TRANSPORTS - FIXED WING	1	2		I	2
TRANSPORTS/RECCE HELO	9	27 (1)	_	-	33
TRAINING AIRCRAFT	1	3	•	1	3

(1) This figure includes 25 HARE.

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-13

TABLE N Z

LANDING CRAFT, AND AIR CUSHION VEHICLES BY FLEETS/COUNTRIES ESTIMATED OOB OF WARSAW PACT AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS

(Those vessels used regularly to land amphibious troops and judged to represent Amphibious Vessels with a Primary Amphibious Role. the primary assault lift of the navy.)

			,	SOVIET NAVY	٨٨				NSMP	NSWP NAVIES		
CLASS	TYPE	North	North Baltic Black	Black	Caspian	Pacific	Total	GDR	Poland	Bulgaria	Komania	Grand Total
ALLIGATOR	LST	2	က	4		4	13	,	'	ı	ı	13
ROPUCHA	LST	1	က	ı	1	ı	က		ı	ı	ı	က
POLNOCNY	LSM	13	16	13	თ	=	62		23	1	ı	82
ROBBE	LSM	1		ı	ı	1	ı	9	•	ı	1	9
VYDRA	רכה	ı			ı	ı	1		1	10	1	10
LAB0 100	rcn	1	,			ı	ı	12	ı	ı	•	12
MFP	rcn	1	1	1	ı	ı		·	•	თ	,	6
EICHSTADEN	LCP	ı	•		ı	ı	1	ı	15	1	4	15
MARABUT	LCVP	ı	1			•	1	1	ო	•	1	m

SECRET US 161/76

Ä

TABLE N 7 (Continued)

Amphibious Vessels with a Residual Role Only. (Units designed as amphibious vessels and retaining a physical capability to Tand amphibious troops but which have not been observed to be used in that capacity in recent years.) (i)

				SOVIET NAVY	- NAVY				NSM	NSWP NAVIES		
CLASS	TYPE	North	Baltic	Black	Caspian	Pacific	Total	GDR P	Poland	Bulgaria	Romania	Grand Total
MP-8	LSM	<b>,</b>	1	i	ı	•	<b></b>					-
MP-4	LSM LSM	_	•	•	ı	5 (10)	6 (10)					(10)
SMB-1	707	1	(2)	(15)	(10)	5 (5)	5 (35)					5 (35)
VYDRA	737	1		5 (5)	3 (3)	5 (5)	13 (13)					13 (13)
MP-10	3	ı	ı	1	ı	(2)	(2)					(2)
1-4	ГСМ	Numero loading	us in all g follow-u	fleet area p units.	Numerous in all fleet areas for use in on/off-loading follow-up units.	in on/off-						
Air Cushion Vehicles						•	**************************************				,	
AIST	LACV	ı	က	ı	ı	i	т					ო
LEBED	LACV	i	2	ı	1	í	۵.					2
eus	LACV	ı	7	4		7	81					18

(i) Additional units estimated to be in reserve are shown in parenthesis.

ъ

16,428

SECRET

THE WARSAW PACT (1 JAN 1976) TABLE N 8 MERCHANT SHIPPING OF

15,120 25,334 1,216 2,686 8,318 2,900 TOTAL 1650 231 368 604 447 2094 777 700 2904 297 1154 1114 101 14 No. 6 18 70 58 DWT (¥¥) 187 PAS SENGER No. 2 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ 9 7 30 23 23 69 90 (SPE.) 돔 8 1 1 1 1 8 67 TANKER 16 13 Š, (POL) 275 280 5,047 5,604  $\frac{10}{2,388}$ 7,992 (Seagoing hips of 100 GRT and Over, in Thousands of DWT) TANKER 45 45 160 63 90 20 384 453 No. 1,240 1,240 4,473 TMO 3,233 CARRIER BULK No. 23 10 51 24 108 280 100 23 9 132 53 REFRICERATED DWT 132 195 40 27 No. 90 55 ---1112 166 153 13 RO/RO 23 27 38 32 38 108 DWT 18 20 112 132 CONTAINER 20 988 1,800 3,300 2,175 1,400 812 390 335 43 90 8,263 3,070 (I) 640 31 DWT 734 8,997 12,122 CARGO DRY No. 195 270 335 330 25 230 65 320 1450 177 108 57 67 8 8 20 437 72 1959 GRI (over 1000 GRT) Caspian Sea Fleet River-sea Fleet JSSR: Grand Total Baltic Fleet Black Sea Fleet NSWP: Under 1000 NSWP: Grand Total Northern Fleet Under 1000 GRT Czechoslovakia Š Pacific Fleet GRAND TOTAL: COUNTRY Total Bulgaria Total Hungary Poland

Includes short-sea passenger and passenger-car/rail ferries Includes timber carrier, cargo-passenger, cargo-training (£)

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-16

TABLE N 9

FISHING FLEETS OF THE WARSAW PACT (1 JAN 1976)

(Trawlers and Support Ships of 100 GRT and Over, Rounded to the Nearest 100 GRT)

SECRET

COUNTRY	TRA	TRAWLERS	FACTO	FACTORY TRAWLERS	FACTO REF.	FACTORY SHIPS & REF. TRANSPORTS	AUXILIA	AUXILIARY SHIPS (i)	RESEA	RESEARCH SHIPS	TOTAL	
	No.	GRT	No.	GRT	No.	GRT	No.	GRT	No.	GRT	No.	GRT
USSR												
Baltic Fleet	898	305,000	264	809,700	139	846,600	105	109,100	9	1,300	1,382	2,071,700
Black Sea Fleet	164	57,600	145	409,500	32	253,300	38	23,400	24	31,900	403	775,700
Northern Fleet	694	227,900	158	505,200	77	409,100	58	98,200	14	12,700	743	1,253,100
Far East Fleet	1,033	384,600	204	597,000	196	1,277,900	170	254,200	39	44,100	1,642	2,557,800
Caspian Sea Fleet	245	31,300	ij	1	95	98,900	48	15,100	4	2,100	392	147,400
Total	2,779	2,779 1,006,400	771	2,321,400	206	2,885,800	419	500,000	87	92,100	4,562	6,805,700
NSWP												
Poland	182	56,500	83	174,000	7	48,000	∞	11,600	က	3,700	283	293,800
GDR	133	57,200	13	39,300	∞	42,500	ς	1,600	7.	2,900	164	143,700
Romania	1	ı	29	89,900	4	36,300	1	ı	ı	ı	33	126,200
Bulgaria Total	315	113,700	29 154	76,400	<u>6</u>	33,300	13 1.	13,200	1   ∞	009,9	35	109,700
GRAND TOTAL	3,094	3,094 1,120,100	925	2,701,000	531	3,045,900	432	513,200	95	98,700	5,077	5,077 7,479,100
						_		-		-		

(i) Includes tankers, tugs, training and floating workshop ships

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-17

TABLE N 10

ESTIMATED NAVAL HYDROGRAPHIC/OCEANOGRAPHIC FLEETS

OF THE WARSAW PACT (MID-1976) (1)

COUNTRY	TYPE	CLASS	NUMBER
USSR (i)	AGOR	ABKHAZIYA	4
		NEVELSKOY	1
		AKADEMIK KRYLOV	3
		NILOLAY ZUBOV	3 9 3 9 9 8 3
		POLYUS	3
	AGS	BIYA	9
		KAMENKA	9
		LENTRA	8
		MEL ITOPOL	3
		MOMA	23
		TELNOVSK	4
		SAMARA	16
		T-43	20
		MP-8	1
		MOD TELNOVSK	2
		MOD KEYLA	1
		MOD LENTRA	5
	AGSB	MOD DOBRYNYA NIKITICH	1
		TOTAL	122
POLAND	AGS	MOMA	1
		TOTAL	1
GDR	AGSC	JORDAN	1
		KFK	7
		SCHOLLE	1
		TOTAL	3
BULGARIA	AGS	Single Ship	1
DOLONINA	AGSC	VARNA	i
	naso	TOTAL	- 2
ROMANTA	AGS	FRIPONNE	1
	7140	TOTAL	i
ROMANIA	AGS	FRIPONNE	1

<sup>(</sup>i) There are, in addition, approximately 100 Soviet non-naval units, mostly of 500 GRT and over, subordinated to various research institutions.

# PART III

### SECTION 3

# AIR FORCES TABLES

TABLE A 1	SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES BY MAJOR COMPONENTS (MID-1976)
TABLE A 2	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET AVIATION OF AIR DEFENSE (MID-1976)
TABLE A 3	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF INTERCEPTORS OF SOVIET AVIATION OF AIR DEFENSE (MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 4	ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF SOVIET LONG RANGE AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 5	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET FRONTAL AVIATION (MID-1976)
·TABLE A 6	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET FRONTAL AVIATION (MID-1978, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 7	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET MILITARY TRANSPORT AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 8	ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES (MID-1976)
TABLE A 9	ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES (MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 10	WARSAW PACT RESERVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT (MID-1976)
TABLE A 11	ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF SOVIET CIVIL AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 12	WARSAW PACT HARDENED AIRCRAFT SHELTERS (MID-1976)

SECRET US 161/76

111-3-1

SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES BY MAJOR COMPONENTS (MID-1976)

<del></del>		_																					
TOTAL	WARSAW	FACT (111)		337.0	2340	7977	7//	8588		1310	200	00/		507	144	168	240		947	0	8/97	15180	40100
TOTAL	MARSAW PACT (**)	(11)	1130	310	542	99		2048		18	170		,			1		25		356		2617	
14 1.01	SOVIET		2465	2030	1640	405		6540		1292	530		205	145	168	076		922		2522		12563	
SOVIET MILITARY TRANSPORT	AVIATION				1	1				1	1		4.5	1		-		760		370 (vi)		1175	m14-1076
	)N(i)		-	-	-				7 1	156 (41)	(4) 05#	1	35	6/	168	240		4.8	, ,	//	1160	0077	arrerate estimated for SNA hw mid-1074
SOVIET LONG RANGE	AVIATION	I			'   '		ı		735	35		2	7 2				ć	3.0	0.1	0.7	925	19	art estimat
SOVIET AVIATION OF	AIR DEFENSE	2465					2465		1	(vi) 6		ı					70	2	115		2629	1	
SOVIET FRONTAL AVIATION	101111111	,	2030	1640	405		4075	,	200	330		7.5			-		77		1950		6674	ude some 1	
AIRCRAFT ROLE	8	M Interceptor	Counterair	Ground Attack	k Reconnaissance	E	IOIAL	() () () ()	T D III O	Keconnaissance	Erectronic	Warfare	Tanker	Fixed Wing	"Helicopter	Transport	Medium/Heavy	Helicopter	Medium/Heavy		GRAND TOTAL	.) Does not include some 15 V/eror	(ii) Does not 4mel.il.
CRET	<b></b> -												_	_		_					_	ت	J

Does not include some 15 V/STOL aircraft estimated for SNA by mid-1976. Excluding 4555 reserve combat aircraft (s e Table A 10).

HORMONE B Reconnaissance helicopters. Includes 26

Miscellaneous helicopters not accounted for in other air force tables including helicopters subordinated to Soviet military transport aviation.

SECRET US 161/76

111-3-2

TABLE A 2

SECRET

SECRET US 161/						TO NOT	SOVIELAN	LATTON OF A	CONTENT OF SOVIET AVIATION OF AIR DEFENSE (MID-1976)	MID-1976)		-
	AIRCRAFT				-	AIR DEI	AIR DEFENSE DISTRICTS	RICTS				
	TYPE	ARKHANGELSK	LENINGRAD	MINSK	KIEV	BAKU	TASHKENT	MOSCOW(1)	SVERDLOVSK	LENINGRAD MINSK KIEV BAKU TASHKENT MOSCOW(i) SVERDLOVSK NOVOSIBIRSK KHABAROVSK	KHABAROVSK	TOTAL
	INTERCEPTORS	260	180	170	270	330	165	385	170	145	390	2465
	AWAC	6				1						
	MEDICAL				1						1	6
	HEAVY TRANSPORT	4	က	1	m	2	'n	C C				
	MEDIUM HEAVY/	;								2	3	40
-	(4) Trollidon pro 11-11	14	5		7	6	4	27		22	56	הור

			TOTAL	2230	2180	2040	
			KHABAROVSK	370	360	300	
1,7 T T A T T T A T	AVIALION		MOVOSIBIRSK	130	125	125	
7471108 40 880	OF AIR DEFENSE (MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)		MOSCOW SVERDLOVSK	150	150	150	
NTERCEPT	-1982, A	TRICTS	MOSCOW	350	335	300	
TABLE A 3	-1979, MID	AIR DEFENSE DISTRICTS	TASHKENT	140	140	125	
DISPOS	E (WID	AIR DI	BAKU	310	305	290	
I GNA H	DEFENSI		KIEV	250	240	225	
STRENGT	OF AIR		MINSK	150	145	145	
ESTIMATED			LENINGRAD	150	150	150	
			ARKHANGELSK	230	230	230	
		YEAR		MID- 1979	MID- 1982	MID- 1985	
Si	ECRET S 161	/76		11	1-3-4	:	PART III - Section

SECRET

ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF SOVIET LONG RANGE AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)

	<b>1</b>		T _	Τ	J: T.	1	_	-	_				_	<del> </del>
	TOT	200	7	200	46.5		09	2.0		00	140	30	7.7	650
	3rd ARMY	5.0	20	2.5	9.5		20	2	000	00	5	10		165
	2nd ARMY	7.5	20	0.6	185		20	'n		1 2	67	0	,	225
	1st ARMY	75		110	185		2.0	10	0.6	3		10		260
	TOTAL	200	7.0	320	590		50	30	6.0	1,40		1.5		780
	3rd ARMY	50	20	50	120		10	10	30	0.5		2.		185
	2nd ARMY	7.5	40	100	215		20	10	1	3.0	5	2		260
	1st ARMY	7.5	10	170	255		20	10	30	9	-	5		335
	TOTAL	125	110	450	685		4.0	40	09	140	3.5	15		875
	3rd ARMY	25	0 7	0.6	155	•	07	10	30	50	10	5		220
	2nd ARMY	4.0	50	145	235		12	15	ı	30	10	5		280
	1st ARMY	09	20	215	295		7	15	30	09	1.5	5		375
	TOTAL	50	140	545	735	ر. بر		50	6.5	150	30	10		925
	3rd ARMY	10	55	100	165	7		15	30	0.9	10	2		240
	5	20	6.5	165	250	7.		15	1	30	5	- 1		285
·	1st ARMY	20	20	280	320			20	35	0.9	15	5		400
		BACKFIRE BEAR/BISON	BOMBERS BADGER/BLINDER	BOMBERS	TOTAL BOMBERS BEAR E/BADGER F/	BLINDER C RECONNAISSANCE	BADGER A/BACKFIRE	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	TANKER	TOTAL COMBAT SUPPORT	MEDIUM/HEAVY	HELICOPIER MEDIUM/HEAVY		GRAND TOTAL
		2nd 3rd 1st 2nd 3rd 1st 2nd 3rd 1st 2nd 3rd 1st 2nd 3rd ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY	1st     2nd     3rd     1st     2nd     3rd       ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY ARMY	1st         2nd         3rd         1st         2nd         3rd         1st         2nd         3rd           20         20         10         50         60         40         25         125         75         75         50         20         75         75         50           20         65         55         140         20         50         40         110         10         40         20         70         70         20         20	Ist 2nd 3rd         1st 2nd 3rd         1st 2nd 3rd         1st 2nd 3rd         2nd 3rd         3rd 3rd           E         20 20 10 50 65 55 140         40 25 125 75 50 200         75 75 50 200         75 75 50 200         75 75 50         20 20 20           BLINDER         280 165 100 545 215 145 90 450 170 100 50 320 110 90 25	1st         2nd         3rd         1st         2nd         3rd         1st         2nd         3rd           20         20         10         50         60         40         25         125         75         75         75         50         200         75         75         50           20         65         55         140         20         50         40         110         10         40         20         70         -         20         20           280         165         100         545         215         145         90         450         170         100         50         320         110         90         25           320         250         165         735         155         685         255         215         120         590         185         185         95	1st         2nd         3rd         1st         2nd         3rd         ARMY         ARMY<	1st         2nd         3rd         ARMY         AR	1st 2nd 3rd   1st 2nd 2rd   1st 2nd 2rd	1st 2nd 3rd   20 20 10 50 60 40 25 125 75 75 50 200 75 75 50 20   20 20 20 165 100 545 215 146 90 450 170 100 50 320 110 90 25   320 25 165 735 295 235 155 685 255 215 120 590 185 185 95   20 20 15 15 15 15 10 40 20 20 10 50 20   20 20	1st 2nd 3rd   20 20 20 10 50 60 40 25 125 75 50 200 75 75 50 200   25 75 50   20 20   20 20   280 165 100 545 215 140 20 450 170 100 50 320 110 90 25   280 165 100 545 215 145 90 450   170 100 50 320   110 90 25   25 15 15 15 15 15 10 40   10 10 10 50   20 20   20   20   20   20   20	1st 2nd 3rd   1st 2nd 2rd   1st 2nd 2rd	18t 2nd 3rd   18t 2nd	1st         2nd         3rd         1st         2nd         3rd         ARMY         ARMY<

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-5

TABLE A 5 ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET FRONTAL AVIATION (MID-1976)

TOT		1350	066	650 405	4075		200
FACTEDN	FAR EAST MD	100	06	90	360		30
AR FAC	aw	60	6	125 25	445	6	200
"	MATZA LASTNEO	15	0	40 25	195		25
MID	TURKESTAN MD	30	20	30	155		1
SERVE	KIEA WD	40	'	, ,	80	r .	
RE	WORCOM WD	55	40	121	150	١٥٢	•
ERN	ZUZAJUAJZNART	70	75	40 3 <b>5</b>	280	20	
SOUTHERN	0 <b>9</b> E22 <b>A</b> MD	50 85	40	25	200	1 08	
	HUNGARY, SGF	120	4 5	- 12	190	30	
	BELORUSSIAN MD	130	50	70	265	25	
	BALTIC MD	55 25	9.0	35	230	15	C
CENTRAL	OM NAIHTA9AA	70	90	80	315	50	LC.
3	cask, cGF	30		12	95	ا ك	Ŋ
1	РОСАИВ, ИСЕ	130	80	35	285	20	0
į =	GDR, GSFG	375	215	35	069	30	50
NORTH	ГЕИІИСВАD	-	55	70	140	30	c C
AIRCRAFT TYPE		S New Counterair(i)	: i i	Fighter/Bomber Reconnaissance(1v)	SUBICTAL	BEAGLE/BREWER Bomber Reconnaissance	LIECTIONIC WATTARE

There are no Frontal Aviation formations in the Volga, North Caucasus, Ural, and Siberian MDs. FISHBED J/K/L, FLOGGER.
FIREBAR, FISHBED D/F, FRESCO.
FITTER A/C, FLOGGER, FENCER.
FISHBED H, FOXBAT B.
Medium and heavy helicopter regiments and flights assigned to TAAs.

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-6

ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET FRONTAL AVIATION (MID-1978, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)

- HOE	TOTAL		1970	1720	470	4160	120	270	007	064	2250	1940	1730	550	4220	120	190	100	410	4630	1900	1730	595	4225	120	155	100	375	4600	2300
DM	EAST MD	AAT	160	145	09	365	200	3	7	435	280	160	145	9	365	30	30	2	590	300	160	135	09	355	20	30	2	55	410	300
FAR RASTEDM	MSBAIKAL MD	AAT	210	220	40	0/4	200	2	65	535	240	210	220	40	470	30	<u>۱</u>	١	535	240	200	220	07	760	20	20	ارد	55	515	240
	1	CEN	120	0,0	400	3	30	3	35	235	120	120	40	40	200	1 6	27	200	230	120	120	40	40	200	07	9,	^	40	245	170
MID EAST	KESTAN MD	TUR	80	2	150				1	150	100	80	55	12	150	•		1	150	100	80	55	17	057	+	,	0 4	+	155	700
KESERVE	CIM A:	KIE	80	-	80	1				80	80	80	1	1 8	00		' '	,	80	80	80	10	1 8	2	'   '	+		1 6	06	will not the man and 120 240
X	SCOM WD	SOM S	200	200	140	,	1	-	1	140	90	80	200	1,0	7.40				140	90	80	40	17.0	0				140	90	
	ANSCAUCASUS MD	ATT	130	30	280	10	30	5	45	325	200	120	35	285	10	25	2	07	325	200	120	130	205	10	20	C	35	T	1	
SOUTHERN	ESSA MD	do 5	40	30	190	1	30	2	35	225	077	770	35	195		30	5	35	230	100	120	30	190	,	30	5	35	225	100	111 m
Og	MCARY, SGF	он Е	09	15	175	10		2	15	190	201	909	15	175	10	'	5	15	190	100	100	20	200	10	,	5	15	215		MDe 1
	OM MAISSUROL	125 BE	120	25	270		25	7	200	14.0	120	120	40	280	1	10	5	15	295	130	120	50	290	ı	1	2	-	295	140	Caucasus MDe
	TIIC WD	/ <b>E</b> 08	185	35	300	07	07	20	325	60	80	185	45	310	20	2	2	25	340	8	200	50	330	20	1	2	25	355	1	orth C
	M NAIHTA9A	120	190	2	340	07	2 4	35	375	200	120	190	45		20	- 1	7		1.	1	190	11			,	1			200	Volga, Siberian, and North
	SSK, CGF	3 g	1	7	56 1		5		100	09	80	1	15	95		.   .	0 4	100		80		15							09	erian,
	OLAND, NGF	125	120	376	C/7	15	15	30	305	100	120	120	40	0/7		1 2	15		1	110	120	45	6/7	1	1 2	7 -	1		170	a, Sil
	DE, GSFG	370	259	289	3 1	30	30	09	740	280	350	250	00	000	15	S	45	725	280	330	230	85	2	-	25	3,5	- 1	200		ie Volg
	ЕИІИСКУР МР	7 1 5	25	150		25	ł I			90	- 1	172	- 1		20		25	175	90			25	T	4	T	200	1	1	02	that th
		Counterair	Reconnaissance	SUBTOTAL		Reconnaissance	ECM	SUBTOTAL	TOTAL	Helicopter(1)	E Fighton Dont	Reconnaissance	SUBTOTAL.	Bomber	Reconnaissance	ECM	SUBTOTAL	TOTAL	Helicopter (1)	rounterair	TE TRULET Bomber	E SUBTOTAL	Bomber	Reconnaissance	ECM	SUBTOTAL		Helicopter (1)	1	Medium - 1. Medium - 1.
					6/	61	D-1	IM		1					861	[~(	IIh	[	+	44	mili			- 6T	-a:	IM		-	NOTE	(i)

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-7

ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET MILITARY TRANSPORT AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)

	A TOTAL	45 690 70	608 670 105	835 60 655 150	865 60 640
	TRANSBAIKAL CENTRAL ASIA SIBERIA FAR EAST MDS	70	0, 0,	70 70	70
	NORTH CAUCASUS TRANSCAUCASUS TURKESTAN URAL MDS	70	70	20 20 20 91	
	ODESSA KIEV MDs	225 20 245	215	210 40 250	205
7010	CARPATHIAN BALTIC BELORUSSIAN MOSCOW MDS	45 230 50 325	60 220 45 325	60 215 330 330	60
	LENINGRAD MD	95	95 15 10	90 20 110	85
	AIRCRAFT CATEGORY	Electronic Warfare Medium Transport Heavy Transport TOTAL	Electronic Warfare Medium Transport Heavy Transport TOTAL	Electronic Warfare Medium Transport Heavy Transport TOTAL	Electronic Warfare Medium Transport Heavy Transbort
	YEAR	MID- 1976	MID- 1979	MID- 1982	MID- 198 <b>5</b>

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-8

ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES (MID-1976) (1)

	TOTA	TOTO	880	250		200	077	542	``	99	2048		18	Ç F	1/0	356	25		569	1	2617
	BULGARIA		30	70	00	60		70	ır		225		•	32		36	,		71	200	067
	ROMANIA		180	40	,	1	C	80	,		300			18	27	<b>†</b>	3	(	89	368	
	HUNGARY	i.	287		ı	,			•		140	ŀ		1	40		-	07	01	180	4). craft.
	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	Ç	30		80	30	155		25	017	0.11	ı		45	140	c	7	187		597	Does not include aircraft of NSWP naval aviation (See Table N 4). BEAGLE (includes some BEAGLE ECM), CRATE SIGINT collection aircraft.
	POLAND	215	85		100	07	195	Š	36	651		18		54	41	C	21	123		774	E NSWP naval av 3 ECM), CRATE S
	EDR.	280	1	-			42		,	322		1	9	70	52	10		80		402	aircraft of some BEAGLE
ATRODA TELEBOOK TELEB	THE PARTY WALL	National Air Defense All Weather		All Weather			Ground Attack	Reconnaissance		SUBTOTAL	1	DEAGLE	Reconnaissance (ii)	(11)	Helicopter (iii)	Transport Medium		SUBTOTAL	TOTAL		(ii) Does not include (iii) BEAGLE (includes (iii) HOUND and larger
	<u></u>	<del></del>	S	EE	LHS	FI			_			-		_							-

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-9

ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES (1) (MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985) TABLE A 9

RIA 1	- 1	_	+	+	-+		+	-		+-		٠.	-				4									
Aircraft Role         GDR         POLAND         CSSR         HUNGGRY         ROMANIA           National Air Defense         290         290         125         125         200           Counterair         40         124         110         -         10           Ground Attack         60         180         140         25         80           Reconnaissance (ii)         35         95         66         170         55         78           Helicopters (iii)         77         69         170         55         78         78           Helicopters (iii)         77         69         170         55         78         78           Counterair         84         155         130         30         80         80         80         80           Reconnaissance (ii)         40         100         60         5         40         80         170         60         80         80           National Air Defense         290         285         115         100         60         80         80         80         80         80         80         80         80         80         80         80         80         80 <td< td=""><td></td><td>TOTALS</td><td>1100</td><td>250</td><td>200</td><td>223</td><td>270</td><td>27.73</td><td>767</td><td>0,01</td><td>1060</td><td>408</td><td>530</td><td>200</td><td>787</td><td>2288</td><td>548</td><td></td><td>0 20 0</td><td>000</td><td>402</td><td>546</td><td>786</td><td>2002</td><td>/877</td><td>547</td></td<>		TOTALS	1100	250	200	223	270	27.73	767	0,01	1060	408	530	200	787	2288	548		0 20 0	000	402	546	786	2002	/877	547
Aircraft Role         GDR         POLAND         CSSR         HUNGARY           National Air Defense         290         290         125         125           Counterair         40         124         110         -           Ground Attack         60         180         140         25           Reconnaissance (ii)         35         69         435         150           Helicopters (iii)         77         69         170         55           National Air Defense         290         275         120         150           Counterair         75         124         110         -           Reconnaissance (ii)         40         100         60         5           Helicopters (iii)         84         155         130         5           Helicopters (iii)         84         100         170         60           National Air Defense         290         285         115         100           Counterair         80         120         40         60           Ground A tack         96         150         40         10           Ground A tack         96         150         40         10	+	BULGARIA	02	76	0/	0/	256	2.30	45	02	0/	74	9	36	20	240	54		7.0	0/	0/	09	36	220	230	55
Aircraft Role         GDR         POLAND         CSSR           National Air Defense         290         290         125           Counterair         40         124         110           Ground Attack         60         180         140           Reconaissance (ii)         35         95         60           Reconaissance (iii)         77         69         170           National Air Defense         290         275         120           Counterair         75         124         110           Ground Attack         84         155         130           Reconaissance (ii)         40         100         60           Helicopters (iii)         84         100         170           Mational Air Defense         290         285         115           Counterair         80         120         120           Ground A tack         96         150         100           Ground A tack         96         150         100           Reconnaissance (ii)         40         100         60           TOTAL         506         655         395           Helicopters (iii)         82         100         170		KUMANTA	200	10	80	28	318	0+0	78	190	130	25	80	0,7	O.T.	335	80		190	35	2	80	07	37.5	040	80
National Air Defense         GDR         POLAND         Counterair           Gounterair         40         124           Ground Attack         60         180           Reconnaissance (ii)         35         95           TOTAL         425         689           Helicopters (iii)         77         69           National Air Defense         290         275           Counterair         75         124           Ground Attack         84         155           Reconnaissance (ii)         40         100           National Air Defense         290         285           Counterair         80         120           Ground A tack         96         150           Reconnaissance (ii)         40         100           TOTAL         506         655           Helicopters (iii)         80         120           Reconnaissance (ii)         40         100           TOTAL         506         655           Helicopters (iii)         82         100	Transportation 1	HUNGAKI	125	-	25		150		55	715	7.17	1	30	L.	+	150	09		100		1	04	10	150	054	90
Aircraft Role   GDR	Coop	Acco	125	110	140	09	435	170	1/0	120	011	OTT	130	09		470	170		115	100		170	09	395	170	1/0
Aircraft Role  National Air Defense Counterair Ground Attack Reconnaissance (ii) TOTAL Helicopters (iii) National Air Defense Counterair Ground Attack Reconnaissance (ii) TOTAL Helicopters (iii) National Air Defense Counterair Ground A tack Reconnaissance (ii) TOTAL Helicopters (iii) TOTAL Helicopters (iii) TOTAL	TIMA TOG	TOPHIND	290	124	180	95	689	0,7	60	275	1,61	477	155	100	100	624	100	1	285	120	17.0	120	100	655	90.	7007
National Air Counterair Ground Attac Reconnaissan TOTAL Helicopters National Air Counterair Ground Attac Reconnaissan TOTAL Helicopters Ounterair Ground A tack Reconnaissan TOTAL Helicopters Counterair Ground A tack	GDR	1	290	07	09	35	425	7.7	,,,	290	*		84	40	7.00	403	84		290	80	90	96	04	909	6.0	70
	Aircraft Role	2100		Counterair	Ground Attack	e	TOTAL	٦,	711		Counterair		Ground Attack	o o	TOTAT	- 1			ы	Counterair	Ground A took		9	TOTAL	١٦	7
	_			6.	<u>.</u> 6	r 						7	8	6 T							_		_			

Does not include NSWP naval aviation. All fixed wing type. HOUND and larger.

SECRET

US 161/76

III-3-10

SECRET

WARSAW PACT RESERVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT (1)
(MID-1976) TABLE A 10

-		_		_		_	
		Table	3565		1000		4555
	Storage Aircraft		200		150		059
(ii)	Schools		2135 (iii)		505 (iv)		2630
TRAINING AIRCRAFT (ii)	Operational Conversion Units		205		ı	+	205
	Combat Units		/25		345		1070
			SOVIET		NSWP		TOTAL

Excluding DOSAAF, for which insufficient data is available to assess overall numbers. Including MIDGET.
Excluding 1130 MAYA and 30 L-39.
Excluding 250 MAYA.

(i) (ii) (iii) (IV)

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-11

TABLE A 11

ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF SOVIET CIVIL AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, AND MID-1985)

YEAR		JET			TURBOPROP			HELICOPTERS
	LIGHT	MEDIUM	HEAVY	LIGHT	MEDIUM	HEAVY	TWIN PISTON	AND LIGHT PISTON
1976	400	450	70	750	069	35	006	13,000
1979	750	009	115	940	650	30	750	10,000
1985	006	750	150	875	580	25	750	10,000

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-12

TABLE A 12

WARSAW PACT

HARDENED AIRCRAFT SHELTERS (MID-1976)

SOVIET	•	55	695	185	280	ı	About $\frac{2700}{3915}$ (i)
NSWP	180	250	95		120	1	949
	BULGARIA	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	GDR	HUNGARY	POLAND	ROMANIA	USSR TOTAL

(See Part (i) About 2000 shelters are located at FA bases and the remainder at APVO bases in the USSR. II - Section 7).

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-13

# Approved For Release 2002/09/05: CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

# SECRET

PART III

SECTION 4

# ALBANIAN AND YUGOSLAV TABLES

TABLE Z-1 Summary of Albanian Armed Forces

TABLE Z-2 Summary of Yugoslav Armed Forces

SECRET US 161/76

III-4-1 PART III - Section 4

# TABLE Z-1

# SUMMARY OF ALBANIAN ARMED FORCES

Data on the Albanian Armed Forces are provided in the table below. For further details see SHAPE studies on countries bordering ACE.

# GROUND FORCES

TOROLLO TOROLLO	
Personnel Strength	
Army (including 5,000 personnel sub- ordinated to ground elements of National Air Defense	30 000
Frontier Troops	
Interior Troops	5,000
TOTAL	42,500
Order of Battle	
Infantry Brigades	5
Armored Brigade	1
Artillery Regiment	3
NAVAL FORCES	
Personnel Strength	3,000
Order of Battle	
Submarines	4
Large Submarine Chasers	4
Other Coastal Patrol Types	60
Minesweepers	8
AIR FORCES	
Personnel Strength (excluding 5,000 personnel of ground forces subordinated to elements of National Air Defense	7,600
Order of Battle	
Fighters	95
Transports	5
Helicopters	35

SECRET US 161/76 TOTAL

III-4-2 PART III - Section 4

135

# TABLE Z-2

# SUMMARY OF YUGOSLAV ARMED FORCES

Data on the Yugoslav Armed Forces are provided in the table below. For further details see SHAPE studies on countries bordering ACE.

# GROUND FORCES

Personnel	Strength
-----------	----------

rersonner strength	•
Army (including 15,000 personnel assigned to ground-based elements of Air Defense)	208,000
Frontier Guard	15,000
TOTAL	223,000
Order of Battle	
Infantry Divisions	9
Artillery Regiment	9
Infantry Brigade	11
Infantry Regiment	2
Mountain Brigade	2
Armored Brigade	7
Parachute Battalion	1
NAVAL FORCES	
Personnel Strength	19,300
Order of Battle	
Submarines	5
Destroyers	1
Large Submarine Chasers	19
Guided Missile Boats	10
Other Coastal Patrol Types	58
Minesweepers (including 14 MSM/MSI)	28
Utility and Miscellaneous Landing Craft	41

# Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2 SECRET

# AIR FORCES

Personnel Strength (excluding 13,700 personnel of ground forces subordinated to elements of National Air Defense)	31,100
Order of Battle	
Day Fighters	40
All-Weather Fighters	80
Ground Attack	135
Reconnaissance	40
Transports (Light and Heavy)	55
Helicopters (Light and Medium)	95
TOTAL	435

PART IV	<u>1</u>
ILLUSTRATIVE CONCEPTS FOR THE EMPLOYMENT OF	2
WARSAW PACT FORCES IN THE EARLY STAGES OF WAR WITH NATO	3
(1976–1977)	4
SECTION 1	5
INTRODUCTION	<u>6</u>
1. This Part describes examples of major military	<u> 7</u>
operations the USSR and its Warsaw Pact allies might undertake	<u>8</u>
in a war with NATO during the period from mid-1976 to mid-	9
1977. It is emphasized that the campaigns illustrated which	10
have been selected from a whole range of scenarios are only	11
a guide to what is generally and logistically possible, and	12
must not be taken to indicate what is considered to be the	<u>13</u>
most likely operation. Therefore, these illustrative concepts	14
must not be used as the only basis for defense planning.	<u>15</u>
2. The operations presented do not consider any assistance	16
which the Pact forces might receive from subversive elements	<u>17</u>
located outside the Warsaw Pact. No allowance is made for	18
military requirements associated with non-NATO contingencies or	<u>19</u>
for damage caused by the effects of Allied military actions.	20
3. As discussed in Part I, Section 1, there is a	21
possibility that Albania and Yugoslavia might become aligned	22
with the Warsaw Pact. The capabilities of their armed forces	23
are therefore described in Part II and their numerical strengths	24
in Part III, Section 4, although their participation in	<u>25</u>
operations is not considered in this part.	<u>26</u>
	<u>27</u>
	28
	29
	<u>30</u>
	31

PART IV	<u>1</u>
SECTION 2	<u> 2</u>
ILLUSTRATIVE CONCEPTS OF	<u>3</u>
OPERATIONS OF WARSAW PACT FORCES	4
IN A STRATEGIC NUCLEAR EXCHANGE	<u>-</u> <u>5</u>
OBJECTIVES	<u>6</u>
1. Warsaw Pact (WP) objectives in a strategic nuclear	<u> </u>
exchange would be to destroy the NATO capability and will to	<u>*</u> <u>8</u>
wage war, while defending key control, military, industrial,	<u> </u>
and population centers particularly those of the USSR. The	10
selection of targets and the choice of weapons would be	11
dependent on the WP presumed desire to secure specific NATO	12
industrial facilities and resources relatively intact.	13
OPERATIONS AGAINST NORTH AMERICA AND EUROPEAN NATO	14
Land-Based Missiles and Aircraft	15
2. Land-based missiles of all types would be used against	16
key targets such as urban/industrial areas, bomber and tanker	17
bases, missile sites, military control centers, governmental	18
control centers, naval bases, and probably nuclear storage	19
centers in North America and European NATO countries. The	20
USSR would seek a high initial salvo capability with these	21
missile systems. Attacks by Long Range Aviation (LRA), Frontal	22
Aviation (FA), and Naval Aviation (SNA) would likely follow	23
initial ballistic missile strikes. LRA bombers would probably	24
have a mission of striking preassigned targets and might also	25
have a mission of assessing the success of missile attacks,	26
striking surviving targets, and providing targeting data for	27
residual missiles. Air-to-surface missiles (ASM) would be used	28
against some targets and their stand-off capability would add	29
to the bombers' survivability. WP air forces would employ a	30
combination of high and low altitude penetration techniques.	31

SECRET US 161/76

IV-2-1

<u>BBORET</u>	
and could be expected to take advantage, within their	1
capabilities, of poor weather, darkness, deception techniques,	2
and electronic countermeasures.	3
Submarine Launched Ballistic Missiles (SLBMs)	
3. Soviet SLBMs would be primarily targeted against	<u>4</u> 5
North America in the event of general war. As more DELTA	
class ballistic missile nuclear submarines (SSBNs) have become	<u>6</u>
available in recent years, the long transit from Soviet	<u>7</u>
bases required for large scale participation in an initial	<u>8</u>
attack on North America by YANKEE class SSBNs, with their	<u>9</u> 10
shorter-range SLBM, has become less of a constraint on the	11
weight and timing of Soviet SLBM participation in a strategic	12
nuclear exchange. The number of SLBM deployed (or maintained	13
on station) would be influenced by such variable factors as	14
requirements for surprise, transit time, the duration of any	15
pre-hostilities period of tension, and the extent of NATO	16
antisubmarine warfare (ASW) surveillance.	
4. Some ballistic missile firing submarines, mainly	17 18
diesel-powered units, are more suitable for use against	
Europe. Their targets could include SSBN bases; communication	<u>19</u>
and control centers are other likely targets for initial	20
attack.	21
OPERATIONS AGAINST NATO NAVAL STRIKE FORCES	22
Method of Employment Against Carrier Strike Forces	23
5. In the Atlantic and Pacific, the burden of detecting,	24
tracking, and attacking the NATO carrier strike forces would	25
be borne primarily by the Soviet HF/DF net, submarines, SNA	26
aircraft, and some LRA aircraft. Reconnaissance satellites and	27
necomats ance sate the and	28

endeavor but would primarily be used for surveillance.

8 9

29

30 31

intelligence collection ships (AGI) would also assist in this

forces.

Surface forces are likely to be deployed in the Norwegian Sea, operating in conjunction with air and submarine elements. In the North Atlantic and Norwegian Sea, the majority of submarines would probably be deployed in a wide band across the approaches to the likely carrier launch areas — although CHARLIE and VICTOR class nuclear powered submarines could deploy independently to intercept the strike



 $\begin{array}{c|c} & 10 \\ \hline 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$  extent of deployment undertaken would depend on the time  $\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ \hline 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 

available to the Soviets and the need to conceal such 14 movements from NATO detection. If such deployments were 15 achieved, the attacks by submarines -- particularly by those 16 armed with missiles -- in conjunction with attacks by ASM-17 equipped BACKFIRE and BADGER aircraft, could pose a 18 considerable threat to NATO carrier forces. ASM-equipped 19 BEAR and BACKFIRE aircraft of LRA would also be a threat in 20 more distant waters. 21

6. In the Mediterranean, submarines, aircraft, and 22 surface forces could all play a part in integrated anti-23 carrier operations. From the observed operations of the 24 Soviet Mediterranean Squadron (SOVMEDRON), it is believed that <u>25</u> surveillance and reconnaissance would be performed by surface 26 combatants, AGIs and any aircraft available in the area at the 27 time, and probably reconnaissance satellites. Some submarine 28 and surface components would seek to be within range of the 29 carrier groups prior to the outbreak of hostilities and thus 30 in position, when ordered, to fire first. Attacks by 31 Black Sea Fleet Air Force ASM-equipped aircraft would be probable.

SECRET US 161/76

IV-2-3

PART IV - Section 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

<u>8</u>

Method of Employment Against Name 7	
Method of Employment Against NATO Ballistic Missile Submarines	1
7. Because of the widespread area to be searched, Soviet	2
naval forces have little chance of countering the NATO SSBN	<u>3</u>
force at sea. Nonetheless, the Soviets may employ their	4
forces in the following manner in an attempt to counter this	<u>5</u>
threat:	<u>6</u>
a. Submarines, particularly nuclear-powered attack	7
types, could deploy in an attempt to intercept SSBNs when they	<u>8</u>
leave their bases or while they are in transit to patrol areas.	<u>9</u>
b. ASW aircraft could attempt to detect and destroy	10
submarines in open seas areas.	11
c. Surface ships could be used for anti-SSBN	12
operations in certain focal areas.	13
d. Mines could be placed in SSBN focal areas and	
harbor entrances.	14
DEFENSE OF WP AGAINST AIR AND MISSILE ATTACK	15
General	16
8. The present WP air defense system has a formidable	<u>17</u>
capability against aircraft flying at medium and high altitudes,	18
and a limited one against targets 25X5	19
except in heavily defended areas(1). Soviet and NSWP air	20
defense forces in the NSWP countries.	21
defense forces in the NSWP countries would be coordinated	22
and controlled by the Soviets. Antiballistic missile (ABM)	23
defense would allow for a limited defense in the Moscow area.	24
Method of Employment	<u>25</u>
9. The WP could probably obtain good warning of air	<u>26</u>
attacks. It would attempt to disrupt NATO air attacks by ECM.	<u>27</u>
The high density of surface-to-air missiles (SAM) and radars	28
(1) See Part II - Section 7.	29
	30
	<u>31</u>

within the NSWP area and the peripheral of the USSR, and the	1
diversity of frequencies they use, reduce the vulnerability of	2
the air defense system to NATO ECM.	3
10. WP air defense forces are intended to provide an	4
in-depth strategic defense. Once detected, penetrating aircraft	5
would face a series of defenses. Interceptors would provide	6
the first line of air defense. Then penetrating aircraft	7
would face SAM barrier defenses and point defenses of important	8
targets. Interceptors would also provide a defense in depth	9
behind SAM barriers as well as point defense of special target	10
complexes outside areas of SAM point defense.	11
	12
	13
	14
	<u>15</u>
	16
	<u>17</u>
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28
	29

30 31

<u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u> 6 <u>7</u> 8 9

<u>1</u> 2 <u>3</u> 4

<u>5</u> <u>6</u>

# SECRET

PART IV	1
SECTION 3	2
ILLUSTRATIVE CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS	<u>3</u>
TO DENY NATO NATIONS FREE USE OF THE SEAS	<u> 4</u>
OBJECTIVES	
1. In any war with NATO, Warsaw Pact (WP) general	<u>5</u>
purpose naval forces would conduct operations aimed at	<u>6</u>
achieving the following major objectives (not in order of	<u>7</u>
priority):	<u>8</u> 9
a. Location and destruction of NATO naval forces;	<u>1</u> 0
b. protection of WP shipping and sea lines of	11
communications;	12
c. establishment of naval supremacy in those	13
maritime areas considered crucial to the security of the WP	14
countries;	15
d. disruption and denial of NATO sea lines of	16
communications; and	17
e. offshore defense and support of ground operations.	18
CONSIDERATIONS AFFECTING OPERATIONS	19
General General	20
2. The Soviets would not willingly undertake hostilities	21
at sea with NATO without being prepared for escalation to	22
general war. However, naval incidents of various kinds could	23
occur as accidental or isolated events in peacetime or during	
periods of tension.	24 25
3. In a war with NATO the extent to which WP naval forces	26
would undertake operations toward the foregoing objectives	
depends in general upon:	27
a. The nature and relevance of the conflict to	28
maritime theaters;	<u>29</u>
	<u>30</u> 31
	.3 1

the circumstances under which the conflict	1
started;	2
c. the strength and disposition of available WP	<u>3</u>
naval forces; and	4
d. the strength and disposition of NATO naval	<u>5</u>
forces.	6
4. If the Soviets were to initiate hostilities	
deliberately, they probably would seek to maximize the	<u>8</u>
strength and disposition of their predeployed forces at	9
least risk of compromising the element of surprise. If	10
hostilities were to break out suddenly, WP naval forces	11
probably would seek both to ensure the immediate security	12
of their home waters and to initiate operations against NATO	<u>13</u>
naval forces and sea lines of communication. A protracted	14
period of preparation prior to hostilities would be needed	<u>15</u>
to enable the WP countries to maximize the readiness of their	16
forces.	17
Logistic Considerations	18
5. Applicable considerations of logistics and supply	19
are discussed in Part II - Section 5, paragraphs 47 through	20
52.	21
Forces and Weapon Systems	22
6. In the initial stages of hostilities a large proportion	23
of Soviet submarine, naval air, and major surface forces would	24
be concerned primarily with locating and destroying NATO	25
naval forces capable of delivering nuclear strikes. In	26
addition, a number of submarines, naval aircraft, and major	27
surface combatants could be available for allocation to other	28
tasks. Almost all naval forces, including about a third of	29
Soviet Naval Aviation (SNA) aircraft, have a mining capability.	30
A portion of SNA has a free fall bombing capability. Soviet	31

<u>SECRET</u> US 161/76

IV-3-2

PART IV - Section 3

1 <u>2</u> <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u>

Long Range Aviation (LRA) and to a lesser degree Frontal	<u>1</u>
Aviation (FA) and Soviet Homeland Air Defense Troops (PVO	2
Strany) would also be used in support of maritime operations	<u>3</u>
to the extent they were available and considered necessary.	4
Antisubmarine Warfare (ASW)	<u>5</u>
7. ASW operations involving surface, submarine, and air	<u>6</u>
forces probably would be mounted in the areas bordering the	<u>7</u>
WP countries in the early stages of hostilities. As required,	<u>8</u>
the Soviets would also initiate ASW operations in the eastern	<u>9</u>
North Atlantic, Norwegian Sea, Mediterranean, western Pacific,	10
and perhaps the Indian Ocean.	11
Mine Warfare	12
8. The WP would probably lay extensive minefields at or	<u>13</u>
before the outbreak of war. For offensive mining to be	14
effective during the initial phase of a war, minefields would	<u>15</u>
need to be laid before hostilities commenced; if such mine-	<u>16</u>
fields were laid, the task probably would be carried out by	<u>17</u>
submarines. Such minefields could be reinforced by air-dropped	18
mines on the outbreak of hostilities. It is difficult to	19
assess what effort would be allocated to mining. If there	20
were to be in an extended period of hostilities, the WP	21
might undertake mining to deny NATO freedom of movement and	22
use of ports. Minelaying by merchant and fishing vessels	<u>23</u>
is also possible in certain areas.	24
OPERATIONS IN THE ATLANTIC, INCLUDING THE BARENTS SEA, THE	25
NORWEGIAN SEA, AND THE APPROACHES TO EUROPE	26
Composition of Forces	27
9. Soviet naval forces available in these areas are those	28
of the Northern Fleet augmented by suitable units able to	29
deploy from the Baltic.(1)	30
	31
(1) See Part III - Section 2, Tables N 1 through N 6	

SECRET US 161/76

IV-3-3

PART IV - Section 3

Methods of Employment	<u>1</u>
10. In addition to those forces concerned primarily	<u>2</u>
with location and destruction of NATO nuclear strike forces,	<u>3</u>
Soviet attack submarines and some cruise missile units	4
probably would be deployed in focal areas and across shipping	<u>5</u>
routes for attacks on NATO warships and merchant ships;	6
suitable surface forces would be used to defend Soviet	<u>7</u>
coastal sea lanes, to support any ground forces campaign	8
aginst the Scandinavian Peninsula, and to attack NATO	9
surface forces and merchant ships; naval air forces are	10
likely to be used for offensive operations and reconnaissance	<u>11</u>
against ships and shore targets, in ASW, and in electronic	12
warfare roles; amphibious forces would be used to conduct	<u>13</u>
assault operations and support land campaigns. Offshore	14
installations may also be possible targets.	<u>15</u>
11. The capture of bases in Norway could benefit the	16
Northen Fleet forces by making the passage through the	<u>17</u>
Norwegian Sea into the Atlantic more secure, increasing the	18
range of air cover, making further dispersal bases available,	<u>19</u>
and by reducing transit distances to operating areas.	20
OPERATIONS IN THE BALTIC AND ADJACENT WATERS	<u>21</u>
Composition of Forces	22
12. Soviet naval forces in the Baltic, some of which	23
are better suited for operations on the high seas than in	24
this enclosed area, are assessed to exceed the requirements	25
for gaining and maintianing local naval supremacy. There are	<u>26</u>
thus likely to be some deployments to other areas or transfers	<u>27</u>
o another fleet prior to hostilities, if circumstances allow.	28
lowever, the naval forces of the GDR and Poland would be	29
vailable to augment the Baltic Fleet.(1)	<u>30</u>
	31

SECRET US 161/76

IV-3-4

PART IV - Section 3

<sup>(1)</sup> See Part III - Section 2, Tables N 1 through N 6.

Methods of Employment	<u>1</u>
13. Suitable naval and naval air units, supported by	<u>2</u>
WP air forces, would be used to neutralize NATO defense	<u>3</u>
capabilities. This would be followed by flank support and	4
amphibious assault operations, designed to secure the Baltic	<u>5</u>
approaches in conjunction with other forces. Thus, the WP	<u>6</u>
naval forces would be freed for operations in adjacent waters	7
and open oceans. Control of the Baltic approaches is vital to	8
subsequent naval operations in this area. Once this control	9
has been established, remaining suitable Baltic Fleet forces	10
could deploy outside the Baltic area.	11
OPERATIONS IN THE MEDITERRANEAN AND BLACK SEA	12
Composition of Forces	13
14. Soviet naval forces in the Black Sea appear to	14
exceed the requirements for maintaining naval supremacy and	<u>15</u>
for conducting amphibious operations in that area, mainly due	<u>16</u>
to the commitment to provide the majority of Soviet surface	<u>17</u>
naval forces in the Mediterranean. The submarine component	18
of the Soviet Mediterranean Squadron (SOVMEDRON) is provided	<u>19</u>
from the Northern Fleet. In addition, the naval forces of	20
Romania and Bulgaria would be availabe to support the Black	21
Sea Fleet.(1) It is expected that the Soviets would build up	22
their forces in the Mediterranean prior to hostilities.(2)	23
Method of Employment	24
15. Suitable naval and naval air units in the Black Sea,	<u>25</u>
supported by WP air forces, would be used to neutralize NATO	26
defense capabilities, in support of land campaigns, to conduct	27
amphibious assaults, to secure the Turkish Straits, and then to	28
establish maritime supremacy in the Aegean, thus freeing WP	29
	30
<ol> <li>See Part III - Section 2, Tables N 1 through N 6.</li> <li>The composition and normal operations of SOVMEDRON are discussed in Part II - Section 5, paragraphs 79 and 80.</li> </ol>	31

SECRET US 161/76

naval forces for operations in the Mediterranean. Control	<u>1</u>
of the Black Sea approaches is vital to subsequent naval	2
operations in the whole area. Once this control has been	<u>3</u>
established, ramaining suitable Black Sea Fleet forces	4
could deploy to the Mediterranean.	<u>5</u>
16. Soviet naval forces in the Mediterranean, especially	<u>6</u>
the missile-equipped submarines and surface units, would try	7
to be in position, either prior to the outbreak of hostilities	8
or shortly thereafter, for immediate action, when ordered,	9
against major NATO naval units. Soviet units not in position	10
to contribute to this task would probably attack other NATO	11
naval forces, merchant ships, or key shore installations as the	12
opportunities arose. In addition, SOVMEDRON would strive to	<u>13</u>
assist the WP main effort against NATO's southern flank	14
including southern Anatolia, or in the Balkans.	<u>15</u>
OPERATIONS IN THE PACIFIC AND INDIAN OCEANS	<u>16</u>
Compostition of Forces	<u>17</u>
17. Soviet naval forces normally available in the	18
Pacific and Indian Oceans are those of the Pacific Fleet.(1)	<u>19</u>
Soviet naval deploments to the Indian Ocean are usually	20
made by units of the Pacific Fleet, although ships and	21
submarines from the other fleets in transit to the Pacific	22
occasionally deploy there. With the reopening of the Suez	23
Canal, Soviet options for transfers via this route have	24
increased, but the Soviets will recognize the risk of sudden	<u>25</u>
closure of the Canal.	<u>26</u>
(1) Soo Part III Section Tables N 1 41 1 V.	<u>27</u>
(1) See Part III - Section, Tables N 1 through N 5.	28
	<u>29</u>
	<u>30</u>

<u>31</u>

Method of Employment	1
18. Upon the outbreak of hostilities between the WP	<u>2</u>
and NATO, the foremost concern of the Pacific Fleet would	<u>3</u>
be to protect the Soviet coastal areas against air or ground	4
attack. It would also be concerned about any enemy carrier	<u>5</u>
strike forces or missile submarines which might be in	<u>6</u>
position to pose a threat. Little early assistance would	7
likely be available for the European fleets due to concern	8
over China's intentions and US actions. Withdrawal of some	<u>9</u>
SNA units from their primary bases to dispersal airfields,	10
along with sorties of available naval units from the naval	11
bases to off-shore positions, is likely. Out-of-area	12
activity may increase, but would not necessarily be directed	<u>13</u>
toward Chinese waters or the eastern Pacific. Naval Infantry	14
would be employed as required to help secure egress through	<u>15</u>
the Japanese Straits and to secure or retake Soviet coastal	<u>16</u>
or inland waterway areas (to include ports, naval bases,	<u>17</u>
and shipyards) along the Sino-Soviet border in the event of	18
Chinese action. Antishipping activity would be directed	<u>19</u>
against any naval forces attempting to penetrate the Sea of	20
Japan. Those Soviet units deployed to the Indian Ocean would	21
likely attack NATO naval units in the area and attempt to	22
either harass, blockade, or sink NATO merchant shipping,	23
especially oil traffic.	24
	<u>25</u>
	<u>26</u>
	27
	28
	<u>29</u>
	<u>30</u>
	<u>31</u>

PART IV	1
SECTION 4	
ILLUSTRATIVE CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS AGAINST THE AREA OF	2
ALLIED COMMAND EUROPE	3
INTRODUCTION	4
1. Purpose. The purpose of this Section is to provide	<u>5</u>
illustrations of the sort of operations which might be carried	6
out by the Warsaw Pact (WP) in the area of Allied Command	7
Europe (ACE) and adjacent areas, having regard to the Pact's	8
assumed knowledge of NATO dispositions and capabilities, to	9
Pact concepts of operations, to the forces available to it	10
and to NATO in varying circumstances, to the constraints to	11
which the WP is likely to be subject, and to the terrain.	12
2. The Soviets would expect Central Europe to be the	13
decisive theater of general purpose forces' operations in a	14
large-scale NATO-Warsaw Pact conflict. Whether they would	15
	16
launch offensives all along NATO's flanks concurrently with	<u>17</u>
any campaign in Central Europe is uncertain. The WP has the	18
means, described in Annex A of this Section, to conduct	19
offensive operations in Scandinavia and southern Europe while	20
simultaneously carrying out an offensive against the NATO	21
center. Early Pact offensives toward the Turkish Straits and	22
northern Norway are more likely than in the other flank areas	23
such as Italy and the rest of Scandinavia.	24
3. As noted in the introduction to Part IV, these	<u>25</u>
descriptions are not intended to imply predictions. The	26
evidence on which reliable predictions could be based is not	27
available; calculations as to forces and objectives could be	28
wrong; terrain has been considered only in its broadest aspects;	29
and perhaps above all, no account has been taken of the Soviet	30
predilection for surprise. FOR THESE REASONS AMONG OTHERS	31

<u>1</u> 2 3 4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u> <u>7</u> 8 9

IT WOULD BE IMPRUDENT TO REGARD THE CONTENTS OF THIS SECTION
AS ANYTHING MORE THAN EXAMPLES OF SOME POSSIBLE WAYS IN WHICH
OPERATIONS COULD DEVELOP. The theaters considered are:
Western Continental Europe; the Scandinavian Peninsula;
Southern Europe and Western Turkey; and Eastern Turkey. There
are brief references to Iran and Berlin.

- 4. <u>Contingencies</u>. There can be little doubt that the Warsaw Pact has plans to cover all contingencies such as defense against a NATO attack; a war arising quickly from local clashes, or spreading quickly from other geographical areas; or a Soviet attack mounted in a period of deteriorating relations, after partial or complete mobilization and reinforcement of forward areas. There is extremely little evidence as to any WP preference for a surprise or deliberate attack.
- 5. Options. In this Section, since it is impossible to 16 cover every contingency, only examples approaching the two 17 extremes are considered:
- a. Option 1. A war in which hostilities commence 19
  with little preparation and before forward reinforcement takes 20
  place; 21
- 22 b. Option 2. A war in which hostilities commence 23 only after the WP preparations are substantially complete. 24 The mobilization status of NATO is not addressed. There are 25 of course intermediate situations, which to a limited extent 26 can be developed by a process of interpolation, but this 27 process has some appreciable dangers, since plans for Option 2 28 are not necessarily mere extensions of plans for Option 1. 29 Such intermediate situations, for example, could permit the 30 WP to mobilize and deploy East European-based forces with no

SECRET US 161/76

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

comparable activity occurring in the Western Military	1
Districts (WMD) of the USSR until it is perceived that a war	2
is likely.	1
Assumptions	,

- 6. In this Section, it is assumed that in both options, 5 the WP would mobilize and would reinforce potential combat 6 areas as soon and as quickly as possible; thus in Option 2 7 reinforcements would arrive before hostilities commence, while 8 in Option 1 they would arrive only in the course of hostilities, 9 but the speed of the Pact buildup would be the same in both 10 cases. It is reasonable however to conclude that, taking 11 military considerations alone, they would prefer to attack at 12 the moment when the balance of forces is most favorable to 13 them. It is quite impossible to predict this moment, which 14 depends upon WP perceptions and actions, the intelligence 15 gained by NATO, and consequent NATO reactions, as well as on 16 non-military considerations, the dynamics of which are <u>17</u> impossible to portray. These issues are discussed fully in 18 Part I - Section 6. It should be repeated that what follows 19 20 are illustrations; these are, of course, guided by such basic 21 intelligence as is available but are not intelligence predictions of the actual course of events. 22
- 7. It is assumed that all the campaigns illustrated would
  be carried out as nearly simultaneously as possible. A

  24

  concurrent attack on Iran as well as against NATO is assumed

  25

  to be unlikely, but since the Soviets must provide for the

  contingency of hostilities with Iran, appropriate forces

  have been allotted. For the same reason, no forces normally

  facing China have been considered in the illustrations.
- 8. It is assumed that WP forces generally would be directed against NATO countries closest to their peacetime  $\frac{31}{2}$

SECRET US 161/76 IV-4-3

PART IV - Section 4

locations and that mobilized forces which have not reached standard combat effectiveness would not be withheld from commitment on that account. The effect of Allied interdiction on movement and supply has not been considered, nor the possible effect of hostile action by disaffected indigenous elements. Clandestine and subversive operations by the WP are also not considered. Isolated and local acts of aggression are not addressed.

#### FORCES EMPLOYED

- 9. General. With the exceptions noted in the paragraphs above, all Warsaw Pact Armed Forces could be committed to war against NATO. Sections 2 and 3 of this Part deal with strategic and naval operations, however, so these forces are here considered only insofar as they might contribute to the combat or general purpose forces facing ACE.
- 10. Strategic Forces. In nuclear operations, strikes

  by tactical missile and air units could be supplemented by

  strategic missile strikes against targets of importance to

  theaters and Fronts, such as nuclear delivery systems, air

  defense facilities, headquarters (HQ), and logistic and

  reinforcement facilities, which might be beyond the range or

  capability of tactical weapon systems.
- 11. Air Forces. The bulk of air operations in the 23 combat zones would be provided by Soviet and NSWP tactical 24 air forces.(1) These operations would be initiated by 25 aircraft already within range of most areas of ACE, 26 reinforced by the forward deployment of other Frontal 27 Aviation (FA) aircraft from within the Soviet Union. In 28 addition, aircraft of Soviet Long Range Aviation (LRA) would 29 30 (1) See Part II - Section VI.

SECRET US 161/76 IV-4-4

PART IV - Section 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

support general purpose forces by executing offensive air	1
operations requiring greater range and bomb-carrying capa-	2
bilities. Units of Soviet Naval Aviation (SNA) could also	3
be employed for maritime and coastal, including amphibious,	4
operations. Most of the foregoing forces are capable of	<u>5</u>
nuclear or nonnuclear operations. Additional electronic	<u>6</u>
warfare support could be provided by Military Transport	7
Aviation (VTA). Because of the speed with which aircraft can	8
deploy, the geographical location of air forces in peacetime	9
is not necessarily a guide to their wartime operational	<u>10</u>
deployments. Air power would be allocated roughly proportion-	11
ately to theater and Front objectives.	12
12. Naval and Amphibious Forces (1) Amphibious assault	<u>13</u>
operations often in conjunction with airborne (ABN) assaults,	14
would be carried out. Naval units, including naval aviation,	<u>15</u>
would be likely to provide support on the sea flanks of	<u>16</u>
ground operations.	<u>17</u>
13. Ground (including Airborne) Forces. Operations	18
would in virtually every case be initiated by forces already	<u>19</u>
in or close to the combat zones. These could be strengthened	20
as soon as possible by additional forces, many of them	21
initially at a lower state of combat effectiveness and sometimes	22
of equipment, from rearward areas. Concepts for the operations	23
of ground forces are set out in Part II - Section 4,	24
paragraphs 24 to 32. The intensity of operations, and to some	25
extent their nature, would be influenced by the forces	26

(1) Details of Naval Infantry and Assault and Administrative Lift Capabilities are given in Part II - Section 5.

available at the opening of a conflict, but would not be the

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-5

PART IV - Section 4

27

28

29

<u>30</u> 31

same in all areas. Strong offensive thrusts in key areas	<u>1</u>
would be balanced by secondary operations in others. Airborne	<u>2</u>
operations, subject to a favorable air situation, could be	<u>3</u>
used in various ways, to extend ground forces' operations	4
beyond the range of heliborne attacks; for intelligence and	<u>5</u>
sabotage activities; and for distant tasks not directly	<u>6</u>
connected with ground operations.(1)	7
14. Assumed Allocation of Ground and Air Forces. The	8
ground and tactical air forces assumed to be employed are set	9
out in tabular form in Annex A to this section. Additional	10
details of the grouping of these forces for both options are	11
provided in the text relating to each campaign. Reinforcements	12
for use against ACE or elsewhere could be drawn from forces	13
in the Kiev, Moscow, Ural, and Volga Military Districts (MDs).	14
In these illustrations, Soviet and indigenous forces in	<u>15</u>
Hungary are assumed to operate against NATO's Southern Region.	<u>16</u>
However, they could be employed to reinforce operations	<u>17</u>
against the NATO Central Region.	18
GENERAL MILITARY OBJECTIVES	<u>19</u>
15. The principal objectives of the WP in campaigns	20
described in this section would be to destroy NATO's will	21
and capability to fight. To this end they would aim in each	22
theater to:	23
a. destroy NATO nuclear delivery means.	24
b. destroy other NATO forces.	25
c. seize strategic areas to further their own or	<u> 26</u>
hamper NATO's operations.	27
d. prevent NATO reinforcement.	28
(1) See also Part II - Section 4, paragraph 71.	29
(1) pec area rare it - peceton 1, paragraph (1.	30

SECRET US 161/76 IV-4-6

PART IV - Section 4

<u>31</u>

CAMPAIGNS AGAINST WESTERN CONTINENTAL EUROPE	<u>1</u>
16. Concept. These campaigns may be regarded as	2
constituting a single Theater of Military Operations (TVD)	<u>3</u>
stretching from the Baltic to the Austrian Alps. Operations	4
could be initiated by three Fronts; a Northern Front,	<u>5</u>
comprising three Polish armies and the Polish airborne and	<u>6</u>
sea-landing divisions, responsible for operations against	7
Schleswig-Holstein and Denmark, and developing operations	<u>8</u>
westwards on the flank of the Central Front, to the	<u>9</u>
Bremerhaven-Wilhelmshaven area; a Central Front comprising	10
Soviet (GSFG and NGF) and GDR forces responsible for operations	11
into the Federal Republic of Germany developed from the	12
remainder of the GDR; and a Southern Front comprising Soviet	<u>13</u>
(CGF) and Czech forces responsible for operations against the	14
Southern Federal Republic of Germany and possibly Austria	<u>15</u>
developed from Czechoslovakia. The Northern, Central, and	<u>16</u>
Southern Fronts could subsequently aim to exploit across the	<u>17</u>
Rhine to the North Sea, Atlantic and Mediterranean Coasts,	18
but such exploitation phases are not illustrated further in	<u>19</u>
this Section. The organization of WP forces is flexible and	20
operations subsequent to the initial days of a conflict may	21
take several forms. In both options it might well be that	22
a fourth Front, comprising some of the forces initially	<u>23</u>
engaged and some of those arriving from USSR, could at some	24
stage be constituted as the area of operations widened, but	<u>25</u>
in Option 1 at least it is more likely that all early	26
reinforcements would be placed initially under the operational	<u>27</u>
control of the leading Fronts. A Theater Reserve could be	28
constituted from divisions not initially allotted to	29
reinforcing armies. Subject to lift availability, airborne	30
	31

divisions from Western USSR could be committed at any stage of the campaigns.

1 2 3

17. Air Operations. The prime aim of the WP air forces 4 would be to neutralize as quickly as possible NATO's tactical nuclear response capability and to establish air superiority. 5 This would involve widespread attacks on NATO airfields as well as attacks on other nuclear weapons facilities and control centers. It seems likely that for the foreseeable future their overall strategy will remain the same, although there may be some changes in the tactical implementation as more new aircraft and weapons enter service. A maximum effort would probably be critical to the success of such an air 13 campaign. In order to achieve a maximum effort consideration 14 would likely be given to reinforcement. However, early <u>15</u> movement of reinforcement aircraft could provide warning to 16 NATO. Not all these aircraft could be accommodated in 17 existing shelters. Many would be exposed to severe attrition 18 on the ground. Therefore, in the interests of surprise, and 19 to reduce the risk of attrition, the initial assault in 20 Option 1 may be conducted by aircraft presently based in 21 Eastern Europe as well as those FA and LRA aircraft in the 22 Western USSR which could reach targets within ACE. Aircraft 23 in East Europe could be reinforced by aircraft from rear 24 areas during or immediately following the initial attack. 25 Most of the air operations described are not likely to be 26 carried out other than in daylight and in reasonable weather 27 conditions. 28

29

30

31

18. Forces Available. See Annex A to this Section. Further details of possible groupings are given under each Front below; in addition to the forces set forth in Annex A, units of the Soviet Baltic Fleet and of the Polish and GDR

SECRET US 161/76 IV-4-8

PART IV - Section 4

navies, including amphibious units, would support land	1
operations of the Northern Front.	2
Northern Front	3
19. In pursuit of the general objectives stated in	4
paragraph 15, forces of the Northern Front would seek to	<u>5</u>
destroy NATO forces in Schleswig-Holstein and Jutland, with	<u>6</u>
the further objectives of control of the Baltic Sea and exits,	7
assuring passage to the open ocean, and the elimination of	8

Denmark from the war. Airborne and amphibious forces would

support the main effort with attacks both on the flanks and

in the Danish Islands. Other forces of the Northern Front

could be used west of the Elbe to control North Sea ports,

and to protect the flanks of the Central Front.

20. In Option 1, depending on the preparation time 14 allowed, assault forces could initially consist of four 15 Soviet divisions (2nd Guards Tank Army) and three GDR divisions 16 which are already facing Schleswig-Holstein and the Hamburg 17 18 area. These forces could be assisted by the Polish airborne 19 and sea-landing divisions whose movements would depend largely 20 on the availability of Soviet transport. Upon arrival of the 21 Polish Front, the Polish 1st (Silesian) and 2nd (Pomeranian) 22 Armies would probably assume first echelon roles, allowing 23 2nd Guards Tank Army and East German divisions to revert to 24 operations on the northern flank of the Central Front. The 25 Polish 3rd (Warsaw) Army would probably become available as 26 a second echelon of the Northern Front within several days. 27 In Option 1, local control of the ground forces might be 28 exercised initially by the Central Front Hq until the Northern 29 Front Hq became operational.

21. In Option 2, it would be possible to establish the  $\frac{30}{2}$  Polish Front organization in the GDR prior to D-Day. The  $\frac{31}{2}$ 

9

10

11

12

three Polish armies, airborne division, and sea-landing division would conduct broadly similar operations in both options. Reinforcement of the Front would be drawn from the theater reserve, which probably would be formed primarily from units in the Baltic MD. Baltic Fleet Naval Infantry units would also be available to support operations in this area.

## Central Front

- 22. The objective would be the destruction of NATO forces 9
  in the area by penetration of NATO defenses in perhaps two 10
  main zones. Operations could be directed to the crossing of 11
  the Rhine to secure North Sea, Channel, and Atlantic ports and 12
  airfields through which reinforcements might come. GDR 13
  Border Troops and other paramilitary forces could be committed 14
  initially to the reduction of Berlin. 15
- 23. In Option 1, operations could be initiated by GSFG, 16 NGF, and units of the GDR under Soviet control, less those 17 elements initially committed to the Northern Front sector. 18 Major thrusts, dictated largely by terrain factors, could <u>19</u> develop along the general axes Magdeburg-Hanover and 20 Eisenach-Frankfurt, with holding or flank protection operations 21 in other areas. Of the 21 divisions initially available, most 22 could be committed to the Front first echelon. The remainder 23 would be available to rapidly exploit success or major gaps 24 in NATO defenses. A division of NGF and those elements 25 initially employed in the Northern Front sector could 26 reinforce Central Front operations. Airborne forces would 27 also be available to conduct operations as required. 28
- 24. In Option 2, 28 Soviet and GDR divisions would

  probably constitute the Front organization. A portion of the
  theater reserve, possibly comprising 10-12 Soviet Category A

  31

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-10

PART IV - Section 4

1

3

4

<u>5</u>

6

7

and B divisions from the Western MDs, could be available to support this Front. In these circumstances, the two main thrusts could develop largely as described above, but the intensity of combat within them, and on the flanks, could be higher.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

## Southern Front

The initial objective of the Southern Front might be to engage facing NATO forces by two thrusts on the line Karlovy Vary - Karlsruhe and Pisek - Stuttgart with sufficient intensity to secure flank protection of the Central Front and to prevent any redeployment of NATO forces. The additional threat of an attack by Hungarian-based forces through Austria into the southern FRG cannot be discounted. NATO forces would be engaged all along the Front; at an appropriate stage, forces from Czechoslovakia could intensify their frontal 15 assaults to complement operations of the Central Front. Their 16 further objectives could be the crossing of the Rhine and 17 penetration of France. 18

26. In Option 1, the initial attacks would be carried 19 out by forces of the Czech 1st and 4th Armies. Control, 20 although perhaps nominally Czech, would be exercised in 21 effect by the Soviets. CGF, and available forces from the 22 Czech Eastern MD, could constitute the Front second echelon. 23

27. In Option 2, it would be open to the Soviets to 24 initiate hostilities with the forces of CGF and the Czech 25 lst and 4th Armies in the first echelon. The second echelon 26 could initially comprise available forces from the Czechoslovak <u>27</u> Eastern MD, but these could readily be augmented by Soviet 28 forces from the theater reserve (primarily the Carpathian MD). <u>29</u> If a fourth Front is introduced, it is conceivable that part 30 of the forces of the Southern Front could be allotted to 1t. 31

28. Air Forces. In all the above illustrations, the	1
term Front is taken to include WP air forces in support as	1 2
well as those air armies from the WMDs which could be available	2
for operations in advance of the arrival of corresponding	3
ground forces.	4
Logistic Considerations	<u>5</u>
	<u>6</u>
envisage	7
the employment of up to 70-80 divisions before it becomes	<u>8</u>
necessary to engage parts of the theater reserves or forces	<u>9</u>
from the Kiev, Moscow, Ural, and Volga MDs. At the opening	10
of hostilities, up to 35 divisions of this force could be	11
actively engaged, and this figure might rise to 45-50, as	12
operations develop and a possible fourth Front enters combat.	13
However, all divisions, once present in the theater, would be	14
consuming POL and other supplies, and even rearward divisions	
could have limited expenditure of sin defence and the	15
both Options the consumption are	<u>16</u>
both Options, the consumption of forward stocks by air forces	<u>17</u>
could reach a peak within the first few days, then decline as	18
a result of attrition. The following paragraphs consider	19
successively the forward movement of reinforcements (but not	20
of general reserves), of ground support elements of air forces,	21

## Forward Movement

the forward area.

30. Available transportation resources for the forward 26 movement of reinforcements, ground support elements of air 27 forces, and logistic stocks include rail, road, sea, and air. 28 Sealift, however, is quite vulnerable, and except for the 29 Northern Front, would be slow and involve difficult lateral 30 movement. The bulk of reinforcement and resupply movement 31

and of logistic stocks; the availability of stocks in the

forward area; and the question of stock distribution within

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-12

PART IV - Section 4

<u>5</u>

22

23

24

<u>25</u>

must be met by road and rail. The airlift of the VTA could	1
be required for ABN forces, although logistic requirements,	2
such as the movement of nuclear warheads, might have priority.	<u>3</u>
Supplementary airlift could be accomplished by Aeroflot.	4
31. It is expected that units based within 300 kms of	<u>5</u>
their alert locations would deploy by road on wheels and tracks	<u>6</u>
along pre-planned routes. About 47 divisions therefore have	7
the capability for direct movement to initial deployment	8
sites using organic transport. Beyond this distance units may	9
use rail or move wheeled vehicles only by road. Tank trans-	10
porters may be used either to ferry tracked elements to high-	11
capacity rail lines or for the long haul forward delivery of	12
up to four tank divisions. However, railroads are the main	13
means of long distance transportation and the capacity of the	14
seven principal through-routes from the Soviet frontier to	15
the western borders of East Germany and Czechoslovakia is	16
estimated to be over 500,000 metric tons per day. Soviet and	17
WP forces are generally well situated to take advantage of	18
the comprehensive nature of the transportation network. Many	19
units could move on routes other than the main through-lines	20
while others could use only sections. Only reinforcing	21
forces from the Soviet Union are likely to use the full	22
through-routes. A good highway network is also available	23
and adds flexibility to the lines of communication. If	24
highways had to be used for long distance movement, the	25
through-put capacities of the eight major routes is assessed	26
at about 120,000 metric tons per day. It is further estimated	27
that it will take four to five days to convert the CEMA	28
pipeline system west of Brest to carry refined POL products.	29
Thereafter the POL resupply capability into East Germany is	30
estimated at about 70,000 metric tons per day and at about	31

45,000 metric tons per day into central Czechoslovakia.
Establishment of this capability would substantially reduce
the demand on road and rail resupply resources. However, it
should be recognized that in practice these theoretical road,
rail, and pipeline tonnages could decrease due to possible
technical failures, the need for maintenance, and the need to
make space for other essential traffic.

- 32. Activities affecting the speed of forward movement include the mobilization time of units, the availability of 9 road and rail capacity and, for units moving by rail, the 10 positioning of rolling stock, movement to rail facilities, 11 loading on trains, transloading at the Soviet frontier and 12 offloading at destinations. Unit and logistic movement would 13 occur at the same time, frequently over the same elements of 14 the network, and would, to some extent, compete for route 15 capacity. A number of operating problems, such as those 16 described in paragraph 31, could also occur when the lines 17 of communication are subjected to a sudden and heavy demand. 18 These impediments would probably not stop the overall 19 transportation system from functioning, but could cause local 20 delays which would increase the number of potential warning 21 indicators. 22
- 33. Under Option 2, a deliberate buildup of about 86 23 divisions, together with full army and Front level support, 24 air elements and logistic stocks, could be moved into 25 deployment locations opposite the NATO Central Region in 26 10-14 days depending on movement priority, stockage levels, 27 and operating conditions. In this illustration the movement of 28 560,000 metric tons of logistic stocks into the theater to 29 achieve operational planning levels and the redistribution of <u>30</u> just over 100,000 metric tons from base depots in the theater 31

# Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

## SECRET

to field eabelong ways to	
to field echelons, were taken into account. It should be	1
noted, however, that these calculations reflect demands placed	2
on the assessed movement capability of the transportation	3
network during an operation when speed is essential. The	4
entire process of forward movement, of course, has never	<u>5</u>
been rehearsed on a scale approaching that required for war	<u>6</u>
and it is uncertain whether the Pact could actually accomplish	7
this operation in the time frame indicated. There is also no	<u>8</u>
way of knowing when the Pact would initiate such a movement or	9
whether it would even seek to carry out a reinforcing	10
operation in the manner described herein. Conversely, the	11
WP does have the capability to undertake some of the required	12
preparations covertly prior to M-Day. Such actions could	13
include collection of rolling stock, induction of key	14
reservists or depreservation of stored equipment.	15
34. Under Option 1 the time frame would be significantly	16
lower depending on the size of the force involved, the degree	17
of surprise intended, the amount of overt preparation	18
permitted, the logistic stock level selected, and the phasing	<u>19</u>
of pre- and post- D-Day activity.	20
Storage Capacity(1)	21
35. General. According to Soviet logistical doctrine,	22
each Front should maintain enough supplies in its depots,	23
and in the mobile stocks in its armies and divisions, for 30	24
days of combat. Altogether, in a theater of military	<u>25</u>
operations which might contain several Fronts the Soviets	26
prescribe stockage of from 2 to 3 months of supplies.	<u>27</u>
Ammunition and POL would make up the bulk of Pact logistical	28
stocks. There is little information on the actual contents	29

(1) See Part II - Section 4 and 6 for Ground and Air Force Logistics.

of Pact depots in Central Europe, but we have calculated the

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-15 PART IV - Section 4

30 <u>31</u>

#### Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

theoretical capacities of the identified Pact ground force

## SECRET

anularition and POL depots.	2
36. Ammunition and POL. The capacity of WP ground	<u>2</u>
force ammunition depots within the GDR, Poland, and	<u>3</u>
Czechoslovakia is estimated to be about 1.6 million metric	4
tons. Based on 80 percent capacity, and on an average	<u>5</u>
consumption of 35,000 metric tons per day in the theater,	<u>6</u>
	7
this provides an estimate of over 30 days of combat supplies	8
for the whole force, in addition to stocks on wheels. POL	9
stocks in GDR, Poland, and Czechoslovakia are so large (about	10
4 million metric tons available for military use, based on	11
80 percent of storage) as initially to place no constraint	12
on military operations of a force of the size envisaged.	13
These stocks would suffice for more than 80 days of operations	14
at normal rates for the entire force without counting oil	15
deliveries by pipeline to refineries in the GDR, Poland, and	16
Czechoslovakia. These figures are, at best, a rough	17
approximation of Pact supply status, but they do suggest	18
Pact stocks in Central Europe accord with the doctrinal	19
requirement to stock for 30 days.	20
37. Stock Distribution in the Forward Area. Stocks held	21
on wheels in the forward area would be adequate for initial	22
combat consumption. It is estimated that any necessary	23
redistribution of stocks from forward area depots to field	24
depots on the lines of advance can be completed within some	25
8 hours by the transport resources of ready forces, and not	
	<u>26</u>
nterfere with resupply or reinforcement. Calculations based	<u>27</u>

on consumption rates have tended to show in the past that

road transport available in peacetime forces in the forward

for conventional operations of short duration, but could be

area (for example GSFG) have been adequate for nuclear war or

28

29

30

31

insufficient in other circumstances. However, improvements	1
in Front, army, and divisional transport scales noted	2
throughout 1974 suggest that these constraints are being	3
eased. It would still be necessary however for reinforcing	4
formations to arrive with a full scale of their own logistic	<u>5</u>
transport, whether organic or autokolonna, and allowance for	<u>6</u>
these vehicles, travelling forward loaded, has been made in	7
movement calculations.	8
CAMPAIGNS AGAINST THE SCANDINAVIAN PENINSULA	9
38. General. Campaigns against the Scandinavian	10
Peninsula would probably constitute a TVD with operations	11
being implemented by HQ Leningrad MD and HQ Northern Fleet.	12
Plans would be harmonized with at least the Northern Front	13
command of the Western TVD. WP objectives would be to destroy	14
NATO forces and facilities in Norway, leading to extensions of	<u>15</u>
the Soviet early warning and air defense systems, to the	16
dispersal of Northern Fleet base facilities to convenient	<u>17</u>
Norwegian fiords and to protection of the access routes of	18
the Northern Fleets.	<u>19</u>
39. Forces Available. Details of ground and air forces	20
are provided in tabular form in Annex A to this section.	<u>21</u>
Additional ground and air forces could, if required, be drawn	22
from Baltic MD or what is believed to be a general reserve,	23
at the expense of other compaigns. ABN forces would probably	24
be included and amphibious support would be provided by naval	<u>25</u>
infantry of the Northern Fleet. The fleet itself would	<u>26</u>
provide direct support to operations.	27
<u>Operations</u>	28
40. Operations against Norway could be mounted either	<u>29</u>
into North Norway directly, or into North Norway through	30

SECRET US 161/76

Finnish Lapland or even through Sweden into Norway. In

IV-4-17 PART IV - Section 4

31

Option 1 readily available forces would not be sufficient to	1
mount simultaneous attack against Norway and Sweden.	2
41. In Option 1 the main initial operation could be a	<u>3</u>
land attack through Finnish Lapland as well as across the	4
Norwegian-Soviet border by the two divisions readily available	<u>5</u>
in the area. This operation could be supported by airborne	<u>6</u>
forces seizing key areas ahead of the advancing troops and by	7
amphibious attacks along the coast. Second echelon forces of	8
a further two or three divisions could be drawn from the	9
central or southern portions of the Leningrad MD subject to	10
movement limitations. It would also be open to the Soviets to	11
exert pressure on Finland to permit the passage of forces	12
across her territory. No effective Finnish opposition should	13
be expected in the north, although the Soviet Union might have	14
to employ forces to secure her position in Finland.	15
42. In Option 2, the size of the initial assault could	<u>16</u>
be extended by a further two divisions in addition to	17
employing up to one division and naval infantry on amphibious	18
tasks. These further divisions could be provided by the	19
lower category forces from Leningrad MD. Option 2 could also	20
open to the Soviet Union the possibility of attacking through	21
Sweden, an operation which would require sizeable land, air,	22
and missile forces. It is probably beyond the capacity of	23
Leningrad MD alone to supply the necessary forces. Pressure	24
on Sweden to allow free passage might be exercised. Operations	25
through Sweden are not developed in this document, but some	26
relevant logistic information is given in paragraphs 43 and 45.	27
Logistic Considerations	28
43. Forward Movement. The roads in the north have	29
greatly improved over the last decades. The Soviets are	30
presently building a road from Leningrad to Murmansk. When	31

<u>1</u> 2 <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u>

completed, this highway will increase ground movement	<u>1</u>
capability toward Finland and Norway. Roads in the north	2
are subject to periods of severe adverse climate, such as	<u>3</u>
heavy snowfall and spring thaw, the effect of which varies	4
from occasional closure to restricted movement especially	<u>5</u>
on the secondary roads. In an advance direct from USSR into	6
North Norway about one motorized rifle division (MRD) could	<u>7</u>
be moved per day, if required, and about two divisions could	8
be moved through Finland. In addition, a seaborne force of	9
one division could be landed through ports in Northern Norway.	10
Between Narvik and the Bodo area the movement capability may	11
be reduced to less than a division per day pending reestab-	12
lishment of bridges, ferries, etc. The capacity of the	13
existing rail and road network is adequate to support the	14
deployment of units.	15
44. Resupply. The roads into and through North Norway	<u>16</u>
toward Narvik have a daily resupply capacity estimated at	<u>17</u>
18,000 metric tons. Further south the road between Narvik, and	18
Bodo could also supply about 18,000 metric tons per day,	19
provided that suitable craft are available to utilize ferry	20
crossings. South of Bodo, road and rail could resupply	21
nearly 30,000 metric tons per day, provided that the supplies	22
came by sea through Bodo. The transportation system in this	23
region is capable of conducting resupply of ammunition and	24
POL to meet operational requirements of force deployment.	25
45. Availability of Stocks. Stocks in Leningrad MD	26
are more than adequate to initiate and support operations	27
at the scales indicated above. The stocks are indeed so	28
large (87 days ammunition, 93 days POL) that it is likely	29
that part of them is destined for Central Europe.	30

CAMPAIGNS AGAINST SOUTHERN E	EUROPE .	AND	WESTERN	TURKEY
------------------------------	----------	-----	---------	--------

- General. These campaigns could represent the major part of a single TVD stretching from the Alps to the Caspian The theater could comprise three, and possibly at a later stage four Fronts, including Soviet, Hungarian, Bulgarian, and Romanian forces.(1) A Danube Front, formed initially of Hungarian and Soviet forces in Hungary, could be responsible for operations through Austria into southern FRG or against Northern Italy, which could also involve the engagement of Austria and/or Yugoslavia or the cooperations of the latter. This Front may also operate into Greece via Yugoslavia. A Balkan Front, formed initially from Bulgarian forces, supported by Soviets, and including Romanian forces, could be responsible for operations against Greece and Turkey; as operations against these two countries diverged, it might be reconstituted into two separate Fronts. A theater reserve could be formed from forces in Odessa MD not initially committed. Soviet forces in the Kiev, Moscow, Ural, and Volga MDs are also considered available for employment in southern Europe. Airborne forces could be committed at any stage of the campaigns, subject to the availability of aircraft. Amphibious forces in the Black Sea could also be involved. Forces Available. Details of the ground and air
- 47. Forces Available. Details of the ground and air forces available for this theater are provided in tabular form in Annex A to this Section, and further details of possible grouping are given under each Front below. In

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

<u>13</u>

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

<sup>(1)</sup> This TVD would probably also include the Caucasus Front oriented against Eastern Turkey and/or Iran. However, for the purposes of this document, details concerning operations in Eastern Turkey and/or Iran are discussed as a separate campaign in paragraphs 60-68.

addition, units of the Soviet Black Sea Fleet and of the Bulgarian and Romanian navies, including amphibious units, could supplement and support operations against Turkey. Some role might initially be played by units of the Soviet Mediterranean Squadron (SOVMEDRON).

#### Danube Front

- 48. In pursuit of the general objectives stated in paragraph 15, forces of this Front could aim to destroy NATO forces in Northern Italy, Greece, or the southern FRG. Employment of this Front in an offensive role would be dictated largely by the status of Austria and Yugoslavia the outset of hostilities or the willingness of the Soviets to violate neutrality.
- 49. In Option 1, the forces initially available could be one Soviet and one Hungarian army from Hungary, comprising eight divisions and supporting air forces. A second echelon consisting of the remaining Hungarian forces could be available, but at a lower state of combat effectiveness. The capability of such a force would be heavily conditioned by the attitude of Austria and/or Yugoslavia. In the event of total Yugoslav cooperation, the threat to Italy and Greece would increase.
- 23 50. In Option 2, it would be open to the Soviets to 24 build up forces in Hungary to a level permitting major 25 offensive operations against Italy or Greece if Yugoslav 26 forces cooperated, or operations to "hold" Italian or Greek 27 forces if Yugoslavia were uncooperative. In both cases, 28 forward bases for naval and air operations could be obtained. 29 The level of forces required to carry out such an offensive 30 operation would probably not be less than an additional six 31 to eight Soviet divisions, since in even the most favorable

2

3

4

<u>5</u>

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

situation, the Soviets would possibly retain sizeable forces for security within Yugoslavia. Such an addition to the Danube Front could only be achieved with a considerable deployment of forces from outside the area. There could be some limitations on the speed of deployment of a force of this size.

#### Logistic Considerations

- 51. Forward Movement. There are three road and two rail routes crossing the USSR/Hungarian frontier, with an optimum initial movement capability of five divisions or 190,000 metric tons of resupply per day. The combined use of present roads, and railways would allow the movement of four divisions or 175,000 metric tons of resupply per day through Yugoslavia to Italy. Using main rail lines and roads through Austria, about two divisions or 40,000 metric tons of resupply could be moved daily under the best conditions. Routes do not impose any effective limitation on the resupply of the forces envisaged in the preceding pargaraphs.
- 52. Stocks. Stocks of ammunition and POL currently held within Hungary amount to about 55 and 65 days supply respectively for the forces already within that country. In the event of reinforcement, there are sufficient stocks of ammunition and POL within the country for some 40 to 45 days respectively and additional stocks could be moved forward from the Soviet Union concurrently with the movement of forces.

#### Balkan Front

53. Operations against Western Turkey and Greece could

be intended to destroy NATO forces within these two countries
and eliminate them from the war. Early objectives could

certainly include the seizure of the Turkish Straits that

<u>5</u>

control the exit from the Black Sea and selected Aegean Islands, and seizure of a direct outlet to the Aegean coast in Northern Greece. Subsequent objectives might be the rest of Western Turkey and mainland Greece. This could have the effect of completing control of the Aegean Sea including the acquisition of air and naval bases and facilities there.

54. In Option 1, the forces initially available would be six divisions and five tank brigades of the Bulgarian Army, together with the Bulgarian national air force and Soviet air units from Odessa MD. Romanian forces could constitute a second echelon until further Soviet forces became available. Fleet units, amphibious and ABN forces could cooperate in this option. These forces would not be sufficient to launch simultaneous large scale attacks on both Greece and Turkey, and indeed Bulgarian forces alone could not support a sustained major offensive against either country. However, with Soviet support, Bulgaria could develop operatons against Turkish or Greek Thrace. Such operations could open into separate thrusts against the Turkish Straits or against Thessaloniki.

20 55. In Option 2, it could be expected that significant 21 Soviet ground and air forces from Odessa MD and Romanian 22 ground and air forces would have arrived in Bulgaria before 23 the opening of hostilities. In these circumstances, it 24 would be open to the Soviet Theater Commander to launch 25 simultaneous large scale attacks against Greece and Turkey. 26 The attack on Turkey could be led by Bulgarian forces as 27 above, with Soviet and some Romanian forces ready to reinforce 28 them in simultaneous operatons against the Bosporous and 29 Dardenelles. With the additional time to prepare, amphibious 30 and possibly ABN operations on the Black Sea coast of Turkish <u>31</u> Thrace and the eastern parts of the Bosporous could be more

1

2

3

4

<u>5</u>

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

<u>13</u>

14

<u>15</u>

16

17

18

extensive. Bulgarian forces in the west, supported by some Romanian forces and possibly by Soviet forces, could mount an attack on Greece through the passes of the Rhodope mountains, probably with Thessaloniki as an initial objective. An attack could also be mounted through Yugoslavia. After the seizure of the initial objectives in Greece, operations could be directed towards control of the Aegean Sea area.

56. Granted initial success in these operations, forces facing Greece could be likely to develop their operations into the Greek mainland, while forces attacking Turkey could seek to secure and widen their control of the Black Sea exits in preparation for deeper operations. A feature of the possible operations into Southern Europe and Western Turkey is the extent to which it might be necessary to call upon forces from the interior of the Soviet Union if these operations are to be pursued to a logical conclusion. Such a course would present obvious dangers to the Soviet High Command.

#### Logistic Considerations

Bulgaria would mainly be restricted by the limited Danube crossing points. The combined rail and road routes could support the initial movement of about two divisions per day or 143,500 metric tons of resupply. Under good conditions, forces already in Bulgaria could move nearly three divisions or 88,000 metric tons of resupply per day into Turkish Thrace, and about three divisions per day or 87,000 metric tons of resupply per day into Greece. These modest figures may lay the WP open to some risk of defeat in detail. If rail and road routes through Yugoslavia also became available in the Monastir Gap and Vardar Valley, their combined use could add

<u>5</u>

about two divisions or 64,000 metric tons of resupply per day to the threat against Greece, but this is only likely to occur at a later stage.

- Romania are sufficient to support operations for up to 50 days for the force envisaged in Option 2. POL stocks are so large as to place no constraint on military operations of the scale envisaged. Stocks from the Odessa MD could be used to support Soviet forces in operations bordering on the Black Sea.
- 59. Resupply. The resupply requirements of the forces mentioned above are not limited by movement considerations.

  CAMPAIGNS AGAINST EASTERN TURKEY (AND IRAN)
- 60. General. A campaign against Eastern Turkey (and if necessary against Iran) could constitute either a separate Front within the Soviet Southwestern TVD or an additional TVD. Operations against Iran are unlikely to be undertaken voluntarily by the Soviet Union while engaged with NATO but she would be obliged to maintain sufficient forces free of other commitments to conduct at least an aggressive defense. Against Eastern Turkey, The Soviet Union has the option of conducting limited offensive operations designed to prevent redeployment of NATO forces, or to strike into Turkey in order to destroy her forces, secure the southern flank of the WP, and link up with thrusts into Anatolia. In this section the latter course is assumed. ABN forces would be used in either case, and amphibious forces could be used against the Black Sea coast.
- 61. Forces Available. Details of ground and air forces available for this theater are set out in tabular form in Annex A. They comprise those available in the Transcaucaus

<u>5</u>

<u>15</u>

and North Casucasus MDs, and in the case of Iran, the five	1
divisions in the Turkestan MD; ABN forces; and probably	2
elements of the Black Sea Fleet including amphibious elements	<u>3</u>
and naval aviation. In the event of operations against Iran,	4
the Caspian See Flotilla could also be available. Additional	<u>5</u>
forces from the interior of the Soviet Union could be allotted	<u>6</u>
if required.	7
<u>Operations</u>	8
62. In Option 1, the attacking forces could comprise	9
seven divisions in Transcaucasus MD (excluding divisions which	10
could be reserved for Iran). A second echelon could be	11
provided, after some delay, from the low category divisions	12
in Transcaucasus and North Caucasus MDs. These forces could	<u>13</u>
be inadequate to advance deep into Turkey until reinforcements	<u>14</u>
arrive, but they might aim to open the way for follow-up	<u>15</u>
forces to advance along the Black Sea coast road and through	<u>16</u>
Erzerum.	<u>17</u>
63. In Option 2, a higher category division from North	18
Caucasus MD could be added to the first echelon forces; a	<u>19</u>
second echelon could still be constituted from low category	20
divisions. Operations could follow the same course of action,	21
in somewhat greater intensity, but the possibility of	22
immediately exploiting a breakthrough would be enhanced.	23
Logistic considerations (see pargaraph 65) could, however,	24
limit the concentration of Soviet forces.	<u>25</u>
64. In both Options, small, lightly armed forces, could	<u>26</u>
be landed almost at will on the northeastern Turkish coast.	27
Logistic Considerations	28
65. Forward Movement. Along the west coast of the	29

Caucasus there is one rail and one road leading into the

Turkish frontier area. These routes have a combined optimum

30

<u>31</u>

initial movement capability of about one division per day or	<u>1</u>
67,500 metric tons of resupply. In an attack, under good	2
conditions, forces could be moved through border areas at the	3
rates given below, but the movement rate by road may rapidly	4
decrease:	<u>5</u>
a. from Transcaucasus into Eastern Turkey, the	<u>6</u>
movement capability is two and a half divisions per day or	7
42,000 metric tons of resupply;	8
b. from Transcaucasus into Iran, the movement	<u>9</u>
capability is about four to four and half divisions per day	10
or 88,000 metric tons of resupply;	11
c. from Turkestan into Iran, the movement capability	12
is three divisions per day or 34,000 metric tons of resupply;	13
and	14
d. from Trabzon to Erzurum the movement capability	15
is one division per day or 6,700 metric tons of resupply.	16
66. Stocks. Ammunition stocks held in the Transcaucasus,	17
North Caucasus, and Turkestan MDs are sufficient to support	18
operations of the force envisaged in Option 2 for up to 25	<u>19</u>
days. POL stocks are so large as to place no constraint	20
on operations of the scale envisaged.	21
67. Resupply. The resupply of the forces mentioned	22
above is not limited by movement considerations.	23
Further Developments	24
68. If successful in initial operations, the Soviet	25
Union might aim to extend this campaign to reach the	26
Mediterranean near Iskenderun.	27
	28
	29
	<u>30</u>
	31

## SECRET ·

SUMMARY OF SOVIET AND NSWP GROUND AND TACTICAL AIR FORCES BY REGION

·	+				
MISSION	Northern part of NATO's Northern Region	NATO Central Region and Southern part of	NATO's Northern Region	Central or Southern	Region of NATO
IRCRAFT (1) HELICOPTERS (11)	70	280 55 20 330	52 41 140	65	40
COMBAT AIRCRAFT (1)	175	740 315 105 975	42 369 290	230	0
CATEGORY	7	0 0 0 7	3 7 0	0	2
DIVISIONS CATEGORY B	2	0 0 18	0 % 0	0	0
CATEGORY	2	20 2 3 3	6 10 7	4	7
NATIONALITY AND LOCATION	SOVIET Northwestern USSR (Leningrad MD)	SOVIET GSFG NGF CGF Western USSR (111)	NSWP GDR Poland Czechoslovakia	SOVIET SGF	NSWP Hungary

FA or NSWP tactical air forces only. Does not include LRA, AVMF, VTA, or national air defense aircraft. Medium and heavy helicopters only. Baltic, Belorussian, and Carpathian MDs. (£) (£) (£)

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-28

ANNEX A
PART IV - Section 4

NATIONALITY AND LOCATION	CATEGORY B 3 6 1 0	CATEGORY	COMBAT AIRCRAFT	HELICOPTERS (11)	MISSION
Divisions	0 1 0 0				
Divisions	0 11 00 33				
Divisions	0 0 0	7	160	80	Considered Strategic
Divisions	0 0	4	08	0 <del>0</del>	Reserve
Airborne Divisions 7	0	7 m	0 0	0	
		pref	0	0	See Text
Southwestern USSR (Odessa MD)	ო	4	235	06	
т +	-		155	36	NATO
	ın	10	80	47	Southern Region
SOVIET Southern USSR					
(A)	က	œ	340	190	
(North Caucasus MD) 0		۷ ک	155	0 22	

FA or NSWP tactical air forces only. Does not include LRA, AVMF, VTA, or national air defense aircraft. Medium and heavy helicopters only.  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-29

ANNEX A
PART IV - Section 4

#### Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

#### SECRET

See and the see and see all the see all t

## ANNEX 1

#### GLOSSARY

## GENERAL TERMINOLOGY

AA Anti-Aircraft

AAA Anti-Aircraft Artillery

AACV Airborne Armored Combat Vehicle

AAICV Armored Amphibious Infantry Combat Vehicle

AAM Air-to-Air Missile

AAMG Anti-Aircraft Machine Gun

ABM Anti-Ballistic Missile

ABN Airborne

ACV Air Cushion Vehicle

ACW Anti-Carrier Warfare

AD Air Defense

ADD Air Defense District

ADP Automatic Processing

ADZ Air Defense Zone

AEM Missile Support Ship

AEROFLOT Soviet Civil Aviation

AFV

Armored Fighting Vehicle

AGI Intelligence Collector (Sometimes, SIGINT Ship)

ΑI Airborne Intercept (Radars)

Aircraft Operational

Altitudes Very High Altitude above 16,000m 8000-16,000m

High Altitude Medium Altitude Low Altitude Very Low Altitude

100-300m below 100m

300-8000m

AMM Anti-Missile Missile

AOB Air Order of Battle

ΑO Naval Oiler

AOR Underway Replenishment Ship

APC Armored Personnel Carrier

APVO Aviation of PVO

SECRET US 161/76

1 Annex 1

#### SECRET

AR Repair Ship

Armed Assault A helicopter with an armament and troop Helicopter

cargo lift capability. Used in con-

junction with heliborne assault operations.

AS Submarine Tender

ASL Submarine Tender (small)

ASM Air-to-Surface Missile

ASR Submarine Rescue Ship

ASW Anti-Submarine Warfare

ATAnti-Tank

ATB Air Technical Battalion

ATGM Anti-Tank Guided Missile

AW All-Weather

AWAC Airborne Warning and Control

Ballistic Missile A Missile Without Airfoils

**BEPO** Bereitschaftspolizei - Emergency Police

in GDR

BMD Soviet Airborne Armored Combat

BMP Soviet Amphibious Armored Infantry

Combat Vehicle

BRDM Soviet designation for Amphibious

Reconnaissance Vehicle

BTR Armored Personnel Carrier

BW Biological Warfare

CBU Cluster Bomb Unit

 $C_3$ Command, Control and Communications

CC Gun-Armed Cruiser

CEMA Council for Economic Mutual Assistance.

An international communist body for coordinating trade and economic planning comprising the following countries, in alphabetical order: Bulgaria, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, GDR, Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Romania, USSR. Associated country: Yugoslavia. (Also abbreviated

as COMECON, CMEA, CAEM (French), RGW (German), and SEV (Soviet).

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

CEP Circular Error Probable. A measure of

the accuracy of a missile/projectile, used as a factor in determining probable damage to a target. It is the radius of a circle within which half of the missile/

projectiles are expected to fall.

CLLight Cruiser

CGF Central Group of Forces (Soviet Forces

in Czechoslovakia).

Chaff The general name applied to radar

confusion reflectors, normally of thin,

narrow metallic strips of various lengths and frequency responses to

generate echoes.

CHG Helicopter Ship (SAM armament).

CLCP Guided Missile Cruiser (SAM armament)

with Command Facilities.

CLG Missile Cruiser (SAM armament).

CLGM Missile Light Cruiser (SSM and SAM

armament).

Clear Air A fighter which requires visual Mass Fighter acquisition of the target in order

to conduct its attack.

Combat Aircraft An aircraft used in operations against

the enemy directly or indirectly but

excluding transport aircraft.

Combat Effectiveness The ability of a unit to accomplish

its mission in combat.

Common User Equipment Items of equipment common to military

and civilian use.

Composite Layers of metallic or non-metallic

Materials materials bonded together.

COMSAT Communications Satellite.

Counterair Air operations, both air-to-air and

air-to-ground, conducted to attain and maintain air superiority. Both air offensive and air defensive actions are involved. (The former range throughout enemy territory and are generally conducted at the initiation of friendly forces. latter are conducted near to or over

friendly territory and are generally reactive to the initiative of enemy

air forces.)

Cruise Missile A flat-trajectory aerodynamic guided

missile.

SECRET US 161/76

Annex 1

SECRET

**CVSG** 

Aircraft Carrier, whose primary mission is to engage in sustained ASW operations and escort convoys. Also, provides close air support for amphibious assault and to ground forces. Equipped with surface-to-air missiles with a range of over 10 miles.

CW

Chemical Warfare.

DD

Gun Armed Destroyer.

DDG

Missile Destroyer (SAM armament only).

**DDGM** 

Missile Destroyer (SSM and SAM armament).

**DDGS** 

Missile Destroyer (SSM armament only).

DDGSP

Missile Destroyer (SSM and point

defense SAM armament).

DE

Destroyer Escort.

Designation

Laser

Illumination of a target by a laser beam whose reflected energy may be used by a

homing weapon.

DICBM

Depressed Trajectory ICBM. An ICBM travelling on a trajectory lower than the normal minimum energy trajectory.

DLG

Destroyer, Large (SAM armament only).

DLGM

Destroyer, Large (SSM and SAM armament).

DOSAAF

All-Union Voluntary Association for Cooperation with the Army, Aviation,

and Fleet.

DWT

Deadweight Tons.

**ECCM** 

Electronic Counter-Countermeasures.

**ECM** 

Electronic Countermeasures.

EEC

European Economic Community.

Electro-optics

Field of study concerning devices such as image intensifiers, infrared devices and lasers which employ a combination of electronic and optical principle.

Electronic Warfare

That division of the military use of electronics involving actions taken to prevent or reduce an enemy's effective use of radiated electro-magnetic energy and actions taken to insure our own effective use of radiated electro-

magnetic energy.

SECRET US 161/76

4

#### SECRET

ELINT

Electronic Intelligence

Endo-Atmospheric

Intercept

Intercept of one missile by another at an altitude where the atmosphere has an effect on the terminal phase of

the intercept.

**ESM** 

Electronic Warfare Support Measures

EW

Early Warning

Exo-Atmospheric

Intercept

Intercept of one missile by another at an altitude where the atmosphere has little or no effect on the terminal phase of the intercept.

FΑ

Frontal Aviation

Fluorescent Antibody

A technique for the rapid identification of BW agents. The agents combine with specific substances (antibodies) which are obtained with fluorescent dye and are therefore readily detected under a microscope.

FOBS

Fractional Orbital Bombardment System

Frequency Diversity

The use of several radars operating against the same target at the same time to minimize countermeasures and

mutual interference.

FRG

Federal Republic of Germany

FROG

Free Rocket Over Ground

Front Divisional

Slice

A division and its proportional share of Army and Front troops of all sorts. For further details

see MC 200.

Fuel Cell

Device which transforms chemical energy directly into electrical energy.

GATT

General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade

**GBK** 

Coastal Border Brigade (in GDR Navy)

General Purpose

Forces

For definition see 'Soviet General Forces'

**GCA** 

Ground Controlled Approach (radar)

GCI

Ground Controlled Intercept (radar)

GDR

German Democratic Republic

General War

Unrestricted conflict between the

Warsaw Pact and NATO

GHz

Gigahertz (109 Hertz)

5

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

Ground Attack

GMGuided Missile. A missile directed to

its target while in flight or motion.

GNP Gross National Product. The total value of goods and services produced

per year, including depreciation.

GOSPLAN The State Planning Committee of the USSR

> Any air weapon delivery against surface targets -- normally performed by air-

craft of Frontal Aviation.

GRP Glass Reinforced Plastic

GRT Gross Registered Tons

**GSFG** Group of Soviet Forces Germany

GTT Soviet Tracked Oversnow Vehicle

HEHigh Explosive

Helicopter Gunship A helicopter performing as a ground

attack aircraft with a permanent armament capability and no troop

lift capability.

HF High Frequency. (Frequencies in the

bank 3-30 MHz)

Hypersonic Generally, those aircraft capable of Aircraft

air speeds of Mach 3.5-5.5 and above.

**ICBM** Intercontinental Ballistic Missile

IDF Interceptor Day Fighter (Clear Air Mass

Fighter)

IFF Identification Friend or Foe. A system

of radio interrogation and reply generally

used in connection with radar for

identifying an aircraft, ship or craft.

IMF International Monetary Fund

IR Infrared

IRBM Intermediate Range Ballistic Missile

KGB Soviet designation for Committee of

State Security

kt Kiloton (equivalent in explosive power

to one thousand tons of TNT)

LACV Landing Air Cushion Vehicle

Laser Device to generate a beam of coherent

radiation

SECRET US 161/76

#### SECRET

LCM

Landing Craft, Mechanized

LCP

Landing Craft, Personnel

LCU

Landing Craft, Utility

LCVP

Amphibious Craft (small)

LF

Low Frequency (frequencies in the band 30-3000 KHz)

Limited Aggression\*

Any armed attack against NATO forces or territory, or actions at sea or in the air, under conditions of self imposed military restraint in which it appears that an armed attack imperils neither the survival of nation(s) nor the integrity of military forces as indicated in a. and b. of Major Aggression. Restraints include voluntary restriction on the objective sought, the areas involved and on the weapons and forces used by the enemy. Limited aggression is considered to include overt incursions and hostile local actions as defined in MC 14/3.

Limited War

Any international armed conflict which

is not General War

LORO

Lob-on-Receive-Only, a passive scan technique used as an ECCM

LRA

Long Range Aviation

LSM

Medium Landing Ship

LST

Tank Landing Ship

Mach Number

A number representing speed as a ratio relative to the speed of sound in the surrounding atmosphere.

Major Aggression\*

Any nuclear or non-nuclear armed attack against NATO forces or territory, or actions at sea or in the air, in which it has been clearly determined that the aim and scope of an armed attack are such as to imperil, directly, either:

- a. One or more NATO countries, to the extent that survival as free and independent nation(s) is immediately at stake, or
- b. The integrity of military forces, to the effective accomplishment of NATO strategic objectives are immediately subject to unacceptable deterioration.

SECRET US 161/76

7

<sup>\*</sup> See Annex A to MC 14/3, dated 16 January 1968.

SECRET

**MBFR** Mutual and Balanced Force Reductions

MCM Mine Countermeasures

MD Military District

MF Medium Frequency (frequency in the band 300 KHz to 3  $\mathrm{MHz}$ )

MHC Coastal Minehunter

MHz Megahertz

Microwave A radio communications system employing Link

wave lengths of less than one meter (usually high directional and confined

to line-of-sight distances).

MIRV Multiple Independently Targetable

Re-entry Vehicle

MOB Main Operating Base(s)

Mod Modification

MOD Ministry of Defense

Monovalent Sepcific against a particular disease

Vaccine

MPD Main Political Directorate

MPO Soviet designation for Maritime

Frontier Guard

MRBM Medium Range Ballistic Missile

MRD Motorized Rifle Division

MRV Multiple Re-entry Vehicle

MSC Coastal minesweeper

MSF Fleet Minesweeper

MSM Medium Minesweeper

Mt. Megaton (Equivalent in explosive power

to one million tons or TNT)

MVD Ministry of Internal Affairs (USSR)

NBC Nuclear Biological and Chemical

NGF Northern Group of Forces (Soviet Forces

in Poland)

NIS Soviet designation for the Soviet

Navy's Observation and Communication

Service

NRE Non-Rotating Earth (used, e.g., as a

8

reference for standardizing the description of missile ranges)

SECRET US 161/76

12.4

SECRET

NSR Northern Sea Route

NSWP Non-Soviet Warsaw Pact

OB Ground Forces Order of Battle

OOB Naval Order of Battle

Operational An aircraft which can be used for a Aircraft military role in offense, defense, or

support thereof.

OT Territorial Defense in Poland

PBH Hydrofoil Patrol Boat

PBV Post Boost Vehicle

PCE Coastal Escort, Large Subchaser

(500-1000 tons)

PCEP Patrol Escort, Point Defense

PCH Hydrofoil Submarine Chaser

PCS Small Submarine Chaser

Penetration Devices such as decoys or chaff which

Aid are used to facilitate the penetration

of defenses.

PGGP Patrol Guided Missile Boat (SSM and SAM

armament)

PGM Motor Gunboat

Phased Array A type of radar aerial in which scanning

is achieved by changing the phase of the signal fed to the antenna by electronic means instead of by mechanical means.

POL Petrol, Oil and Lubricants

Polyvalent Those having a simultaneous capability

Vaccines against several diseases

PT Motor Torpedo Boat

PTF Fast Patrol Boat

PTH Hydrofoil Motor Torpedo Boat

PTFG Large Guided Missile Boat

Pulse A radar pulse modulation technique Compression which achieves some resolution

which achieves some resolution advantages of using shorter pulses.

PVO Soviet designation for Air Defense

SECRET US 161/76

Annex 1

#### SECRET

PVO Strany Soviet designation for Air Defense of

the Homeland

PVO-Voysk Soviet designation for Air Defense of

Theater Forces

Re-entry The path followed by a body re-entering Profile

the earth's atmosphere

R&D Research and Development

Repeater A receiver-transmitter device which Jammer when triggered by enemy electronic

radiations, returns synchronized impulses to the enemy equipment for purposes of deception of jamming.

RSFSR Russian Soviet Federated Socialistic

Republic

RT Voice Transmission

RPV Remotely Piloted Vehicle

RV Re-entry Vehicle. The payload and

equipment which return to earth

through the atmosphere

SALT SAL(T) Strategic Arms Limitation (Talks)

SAM Surface-to-air missile

Secondary Radar A radar system in which the aircraft or

System ships under surveillance carry transponders which are activated by signals from interrogating radars. The signals

from the transponders may be coded.

Semi-Conductors Materials with Special Electrical Properties SGF

Southern Group of Forces (Soviet Forces in

Hungary)

SHR Super High Frequency

SIGINT Signal Intelligence (electronic and

communications). See also ELINT

SLAR Sidelooking Airborne Radar. An airborne

radar, viewing at right angles to the axis to the vehicle, which produces a presentation of terrain or moving targets.

SLBM Submarine Launched Ballistic Missile

10

SLD Sea Landing Division

SLOC Sea Lines of Communication

SNA Soviet Naval Aviation

SECRET US 161/76

#### SECRET

Software

The programs which translate human instructions into forms which can be understood and acted upon by computers.

Soviet General Purposes Forces

Include:

- Theater forces, i.e., ground combat and tactical air forces plus their associated command, support and service elements up through the level of military districts and groups of forces;
- b. Naval general purpose forces, i.e., naval forces subordinate to fleets and separate flotillas, including naval air forces, but excluding strategic attack missile submarine forces; and
- c. Military airlift and sealift elements. In addition, Soviet command and service elements providing general support to all components of the Soviet military establishment are considered where appropriate.

SOVINDRON

Soviet Indian Ocean Squadron

SOVMEDRON

Soviet Mediterranean Squadron

SRF

Strategic Rocket Forces

SS

Diesel-Powered Torpedo Attack Submarine

SSB

Diesel-Powered Ballistic Missile

Submarine

SSBN

Nuclear-Powered Ballistic Missile Submarine

SSG

Diesel-Powered Cruise Missile Submarine

SSGN

Nuclear-Powered Cruise Missile Submarine

SSM

Surface-to-Surface Missile

SSN

Nuclear-Powered Attack Submarine

SAT

Science and Technology

STOL

Short Take-Off and Landing

TAA .

Tactical Air Army

TASM

Tactical Air-to-Surface Missile

TEL

Transporter-Erector Launcher

Terrain Following Radar

A radar that enables aircraft to fly at a constant altitude above the ground

contours.

SECRET US 161/76

11

## SECRET

Theater Forces See 'Soviet General Purpose Forces' ΤV Theater of War (Soviet designation)

TVD Theater of Military Operations

UHF Ultra High Frequency (frequencies in

the band 300-3,000 MHz)

VG Variable Geometry. A term referring to an aircraft which is capable of altering the sweep of the wings while in flight.

VDS Variable Depth Sonar

VHF Very High Frequency (frequencies in the

band 30-300 MHz)

VLF Very Low Frequency (frequencies in the band  $3-30~\mathrm{KHz}$ )

VOPO Volkspolizei - Peoples' Police in GDR

VOR VHF Omi-Range

V/STOL Vertical/Short Take-Off and Landing

VTA Soviet designation for Military

Transport Aviation

VTOL Vertical Take-off and Landing

VVS Air Forces (USSR)

WOP Maritime Frontier Guard (Polish Navy)

WP Warsaw Pact

### SECRET

## REGIONAL TERMINOLOGY

## Political Regional Definitions

The communist world consists of the following:

USSR Communist China

Hungary Mongolia Poland Romania

Albania Bulgaria North Korea North Vietnam

German Democratic Republic (GDR) Yugoslavia

Cuba

Czechoslovakia

The Soviet Bloc is defined as consisting of the following members of the Warsaw Pact:

USSR

Poland

Bulgaria Czechoslovakia

Romania GDR

Hungary

For a fuller discussion of the political alignment of Albania, Cuba and Yugoslavia, see Part I, Section 1.

Geographical Regional Definitions

Europe:

All European countries on the continent

from the Atlantic to the Ural Mountains.

Eurasia:

Europe and Soviet Asia.

North America:

United States and Canada.

Nordic Area:

Denmark

Norway Sweden

Finland Iceland

Western Continental Europe:

Austria Belgium Denmark

France Federal Republic

of Germany

Luxembourg Netherlands Switzerland

Western Insular Europe: British Isles and Eire

Scandinavian Peninsula:

Norway and Sweden

Iberian Peninsula:

Gibraltar, Portugal and Spain

Southern Europe:

Greece, Italy, Turkish Thrace

and Yugoslavia

SECRET US 161/76

13

#### SECRET

## Eastern Europe:

Albania

Bulgaria

ria Czechoslovakia TY Poland

German Democratic Republic

Hungary Romania

South Eastern Europe:

Albania Bulgaria Greece Romania Turkey Yugoslavia

Middle East:

Cyprus Iran Iraq

Israel Jordan Lebanon

Egypt Saudi Arabia Syria

South Vietnam

Yugoslavia

aq Lebanc

Turkey

Far East and Southeast Asia:

Bhutan Burma Cambodia Ceylon Communist China

Japan Laos Macao Malaysia Mongolia Nepal

Pakistan
Philippines
Sia Sikkim
Clia Soviet Territory in
the Far East

Formosa Nepal
Hong Kong North Korea
India South Korea
Indonesia North Veitnam

SW Pacific Islands Thailand Tibet

North Africa:

Algeria Morocco

Egypt Tunisia Libya

## SECRET

ANNEX 2			<u>1</u>
DESIGNATION OF CURRENT SOVIET AIRCRAFT			<u>2</u>
FIGHTERS			<u>3</u>
Fixed Wing			<u>4</u>
Single Jet	FAGOT	MIG-15	<u>5</u>
	FISHBED	MIG-21	<u>6</u>
	FISHPOT B/C	SU-9(U)/SU-11(S)	<u>7</u>
	FITTER A	su-7	<u>8</u>
	FRESCO	MIG-17	<u>9</u>
Twin Jet	FARMER	MIG-19	10
	FIDDLER	TU-128(S)	11
	FIREBAR	YAK-28P	12
	FLAGON	SU-15(C)	<u>13</u>
	FLASHLIGHT	YAK-25	14
	FOXBAT	MIG-25	<u>15</u>
Variable Geometry Wind	g (VG)		16
	FITTER B/C	SU-17(C)/Unknown	<u>17</u>
	FLOGGER	MIG-23	18
	FENCER	SU-19 (C)	<u>19</u>
BOMBERS			20
Fixed Wing			21
Twin Jet	BEAGLE	IL-28	22
	BREWER	YAK-28	23
	BADGER	TU-16	24
	BLINDER	TU-22	<u>25</u>
Four Turboprop	BEAR	TU-95	<u>26</u>
Four Jet	BISON	M-4	<u>27</u>
Variable Geometry Wing	(VG)		28
	BACKFIRE	TU-Unknown	29
			<u>30</u>
			31

SECRET US 161/76

1

# SECRET

ANNEX 2			1
DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION OF CURRENT SOVIET AIRCRAFT		
FIGHTERS			<u>3</u>
Fixed Wing			4
Single Jet	FAGOT	MIG-15	<u>5</u>
:	FISHBED	MIG-21	<u>6</u>
	FISHPOT B/C	SU-9(U)/SU-11(S)	<u>7</u>
	FITTER A	su-7	<u>8</u>
	FRESCO	MIG-17	<u>9</u>
Twin Jet	FARMER	MIG-19	10
1	FIDDLER	TU-128(S)	<u>11</u>
	FIREBAR	YAK-28P	12
	FLAGON	SU-15 (C)	<u>13</u>
 	FLASHLIGHT	YAK-25	14
	FOXBAT	MIG-25	<u>15</u>
Variable Geometry Wing	(VG)		<u>16</u>
	FITTER B/C	SU-17(C)/Unknown	<u>17</u>
	FLOGGER	MIG-23	18
'	FENCER	SU-19 (C)	<u>19</u>
BOMBERS			<u>20</u>
Fixed Wing			21
Twin Jet	BEAGLE	IL-28	22
	BREWER	YAK-28	23
	BADGER	TU-16	24
	BLINDER	TU-22	<u>25</u>
Four Turboprop	BEAR	TU-95	26
Four Jet	BISON	M-4	<u>27</u>
Variable Geometry Wing	(VG)		28
	BACKFIRE	TU-Unknown	<u>29</u>
			<u>30</u>
			31

SECRET US 161/76

1

## SECRET

COMBAT TRAINERS			1
Single Jet	MAIDEN	USU-9	2
	MAYA	L-29	<u>3</u>
	MONGOL	UMIG-21	4
	MOUJIK	USU-7	<u>5</u>
	MIDGET	UMIG-15	<u>6</u>
	ISKRA(1)	TS-11 (1) L-39 (1)	7
Twin Jet	MASCOT	UIL-28	8
	MAESTRO	UYAK-28	<u>9</u>
	MAGNUM	YAK-30	10
	MANTIS	YAK-32	11
TRANSPORTS			12
Criteria(2)			<u>13</u>
Light Transport	Payload under 6	,800 kg.	14
Medium Transport	Payload 6,800 t	o 21,000 kg	<u>15</u>
	and a combat ra	dius of at	<u>16</u>
	least 1,100 km.	77	<u>17</u>
Heavy Transport	Payload over 21	,000 kg and a	18
	combat radius o	of at least	<u>19</u>
	2,200 km.		<u>20</u>
Light(3)			<u>21</u>
Twin Reciprocating	CAB	LI-2	22
	COACH	IL-12	23
	CRATE	IL-14	24
/1\ m1	wat been sive	a decignations by the	<u>25</u>
<ol> <li>These aircraft have not been given designations by the Air Standardization Coordinating Committee. ISKRA is the indigenous designation.</li> <li>These criteria are based on most economical fuel loads.</li> <li>A large number of small transports are used for liaison and light cargo duties but are not considered in AOBs. These aircraft include the CLOD (AN-14), COIT (AN-2), and CREEK (YAK-12).</li> </ol>			<u>26</u>
			27
			28
			29
•			<u>30</u>
			31

SECRET US 161/76

# SECRET

Twin Turboprop	COKE	AN-24	1
	CURL	AN-26	2
	CASH	AN-28	3
	CLANK	AN-30	4
	CUFF	BE-30	<u>5</u>
Twin Jet	COOKPOT	TU-124	<u>6</u>
Three Jet	CODLING	YAK-40	7
Medium			8
Twin Turboprop	CAMP	AN-8	9_
Twin Jet	CAMEL	TU-104	<u>10</u>
	CRUSTY	TU-134	11
Three Jet	CARELESS	TU-154	12
Four Turboprop	CAT	AN-10	13
	COOT	IL-18	14
	CUB	AN-12	<u>15</u>
Heavy			<u>16</u>
Four Turboprop	CLEAT	TU-114	<u>17</u>
	COCK	AN-22	18
Four Jet	CLASSIC	IL-62	<u>19</u>
	CANDID	IL-76	20
	CHARGER	TU-144	<u>21</u>
HELICOPTERS			22
Light			<u>23</u>
Single Reciprocating	HARE	MI-1	24
Twin Reciprocating	HEN	KA-15	<u>25</u>
	HOG	KA-18	<u>26</u>
	HOODLUM	KA-26	27
Twin Turboshaft	HOPLITE	MI-2	28
			29
			<u>30</u>
			<u>31</u>

SECRET US 161/76

Annex 2

## SECRET

Medium			<u>1</u>
Single Reciprocating	HOUND	MI-4	2
Twin Turboshaft	HIP	MI-8	3
	HORMONE	KA-25	4
	HIND	MI-24	<u>5</u>
Heavy			<u>6</u>
Twin Turboshaft	HARKE	MI-10	7
. •	HOOK	MI-6	8
MISCELLANEOUS			9
ASW			10
Twin Reciprocating/Amphibian	MADGE	BE-6	11
Twin Turboprop/Amphibian	MAIL	BE-12	12
Four Turboprop	MAY	IL-38	<u>13</u>
	BEAR F	TU-95 (modified)	14
AWAC			<u>15</u>
Four Turboprop	MOSS	TU- (unknown)	<u>16</u>
Reconnaissance			<u>17</u>
Twin Jet	MANDRAKE (1)	YAK-27RV(S)	18
	MANGROVE	YAK-27R	<u>19</u>
PROTOTYPES			<u>20</u>
Fighter			21
V/STOL			22
Jet	Undesignate	d Unknown	23
Helicopter			24
Heavy Four Turboshaft			<u>25</u>
Twin Rotor	HOMER	MI-12	<u>26</u>
			27
71 S. L	target aircra	ft	28
(1) Primarily a high-altitude	carger arrera		<u>29</u>
			30
			<u>31</u>

SECRET US 161/76

Annex 2

# SUBMARINE RANGE CATEGORIES AND ENDURANCE

- 1. For convenience, submarines are arbitrarily categorized for range according to their endurance capability.
- 2. The following tables show Warsaw Pact and Yugoslav submarines, divided into these categories.

RANG	GE CATEGORIES	CLASS	MAX. OPERATIONAL ENDURANCE
a.	Warsaw Pact Units		•
	Long	All nuclear classes	90 days (i)
	(over 10,000 nm)	GOLF	75 days
		FOXTROT	75 days
		JULIETT	75 days
		TANGO	75 days
		ZULU	75 days
	Medium (5,000-10,000 nm)	BRAVO	50 days
		ROMEO	50 days
		WHISKEY	50 days
	Short (under 5,000 nm)	QUEBEC	30 days
<u>b</u> .	Yugoslav Units		
	Short (under 5,000 nm)	HE ROJ	30 days
		SUTJESKA	30 days

<sup>(</sup>i) Limited only by crew endurance and availability of consumables.